

Energy Levels of Light Nuclei $A = 9$

T. Lauritsen ^a and F. Ajzenberg-Selove ^b

^a *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California*

^b *University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6396*

Abstract: An evaluation of $A = 5-10$ was published in *Nuclear Physics* 78 (1966), p. 1. This version of $A = 9$ differs from the published version in that we have corrected some errors discovered after the article went to press. Figures and introductory tables have been omitted from this manuscript. [Reference](#) key numbers have been changed to the TUNL/NNDC format.

(References closed July 01, 1965)

The original work of Fay Ajzenberg-Selove was supported by the US Department of Energy [DE-FG02-86ER40279]. Later modification by the TUNL Data Evaluation group was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, under: Contract No. DEFG05-88-ER40441 (North Carolina State University); Contract No. DEFG05-91-ER40619 (Duke University).

Table of Contents for $A = 9$

Below is a list of links for items found within the PDF document. Figures from this evaluation have been scanned in and are available on this website or via the link below.

A. Nuclides: [⁹Li](#), [⁹Be](#), [⁹B](#), [⁹C](#)

B. Tables of Recommended Level Energies:

[Table 9.1](#): Energy levels of ⁹Li

[Table 9.2](#): Energy levels of ⁹Be

[Table 9.10](#): Energy levels of ⁹B

C. [References](#)

D. Figures: [⁹Li](#), [⁹Be](#), [⁹B](#), [Isobar diagram](#)

E. Erratum to the Publication: [PS](#) or [PDF](#)

Table 9.1: Energy levels of ${}^9\text{Li}$

E_x (MeV \pm keV)	$J^\pi; T$	$\tau_{1/2}$ (sec)	Decay	Reactions
g.s. 2.691 ± 5	$(\frac{3}{2})^-; \frac{3}{2}$	0.172 ± 0.003	β^-	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 2

${}^9\text{Li}$
(Figs. 15 and 18)

GENERAL: See (1964GR1J).

Mass of ${}^9\text{Li}$: From the Q -value for ${}^7\text{Li}(t, p){}^9\text{Li}$: $Q = -2.397 \pm 0.020$ MeV, the mass excess of ${}^9\text{Li}$ is 24.965 ± 0.020 MeV (1964MI04, 1965MA54).

1. ${}^9\text{Li}(\beta^-){}^9\text{Be}$ $Q_m = 13.615$

${}^9\text{Li}$ decays to the ground state (25 ± 15 %) and to the 2.43 MeV, neutron-unstable state of ${}^9\text{Be}$ (75 ± 15 %). The β -endpoints are 13.5 ± 0.3 MeV and 11.0 ± 0.4 MeV; $\log ft = 5.5 \pm 0.2$ and 4.7 ± 0.2 , respectively. Delayed neutrons of energy 0.7 ± 0.2 MeV are associated with the 11 MeV branch; there are indications of a weak neutron group with $E_n \approx 3 - 4.5$ MeV (1963AL18). (1963NE07) finds $E_\beta(\text{max}) = 13.1 \pm 0.5$ MeV, $\log ft = 5.0 \pm 0.3$. The mean of reported half lives is 172 ± 3 msec (1951GA30, 1952HO25, 1952SH44, 1961HI01, 1963AL18, 1965BE1P). The fact that the decay to ${}^9\text{Be}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ is allowed indicates $J^\pi({}^9\text{Li}) = \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-$ or $\frac{5}{2}^-$; if ${}^9\text{Be}^*(2.43)$ has $J^\pi = \frac{5}{2}^-$, the allowed decay excludes $\frac{1}{2}^-$ (1963AL18). See also (1964ER1C).

2. ${}^7\text{Li}(t, p){}^9\text{Li}$ $Q_m = -2.397$

At $E_t = 11.28$ MeV, two groups are observed: the ground state group yields $Q_0 = -2.397 \pm 0.020$ MeV. The first excited state has $E_x = 2.691 \pm 0.005$ MeV; no other states are seen with $E_x < 4.0$ MeV. The angular distribution of ground-state protons appears to require $L = 0$ and $L = 2$ transfer, consistent with the expected $J^\pi = \frac{3}{2}^-$ (1964MI04). See also (1961HI01, 1964RO1G).

3. ${}^9\text{Be}(n, p){}^9\text{Li}$ $Q_m = -12.832$

See (1959AL83, 1963AL18).



See (1951GA30).



Not observed.



See (1952SH44, 1958TA04, 1963NE07) for reaction (a), and (1965BE1P) for (b).



Not observed.



See (1953RE19, 1958TA04).

${}^9\text{Be}$

(Figs. 16 and 18)

GENERAL: See (1958BL57, 1959BA1D, 1959BR1E, 1959PI45, 1959TH16, 1960HE04, 1960KU1B, 1960PH1A, 1960SP08, 1960TA1C, 1960VA1H, 1961BA1G, 1961BA1E, 1961CL10, 1961GU1B, 1961KU1C, 1962BA1C, 1962IN02, 1962SC12, 1962TA1H, 1963HI1B, 1963MA1E, 1963SC1P, 1964AM1D, 1964BA29, 1964BA1Y, 1964BE1M, 1964GR1J, 1964NE1E, 1964RE1C, 1964ST1B, 1965BO1M, 1965KU1E, 1965MU1A, 1965NE1C, 1965RO1H, 1965WO01).

Ground State :

$$\mu = -1.1776 \text{ nm}; Q = \pm 0.03 \text{ b (1965FU1G)}.$$

Table 9.2: Energy levels of ${}^9\text{Be}$

E_x (MeV \pm keV)	$J^\pi; T$	Γ (keV)	Decay	Reactions
g.s.	$\frac{3}{2}^-; \frac{1}{2}$		stable	2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 36, 39
1.665 ± 20	$\frac{1}{2}^+; \frac{1}{2}$	200 ± 20	n_0, γ	4, 10, 12, 15, 18, 19, 20, 24, 28, 34, 39
2.429 ± 2	$\frac{5}{2}^-; \frac{1}{2}$	1.0 ± 0.2	n_0, n_1, γ	4, 10, 12, 13, 15, 18, 19, 20, 24, 28, 32, 34, 35, 39, 40
3.032 ± 11	$(\frac{5}{2})^+; \frac{1}{2}$	265 ± 17	n_0	4, 10, 12, 15, 19, 20, 24, 28, 34, 35, 39
4.70 ± 50	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+; \frac{1}{2}$	730 ± 150	n_1	4, 10, 15, 20, 24, 33
6.66 ± 50	$\frac{7}{2}^-; \frac{1}{2}$	1300 ± 120	n_1	10, 15, 18, 20, 24, 28, 33
(7.94 ± 80)		≈ 1000		15, 20
11.30 ± 50		640 ± 70	γ, n	10, 15, 20, 28, 33
11.82 ± 20		410 ± 30	γ, n	10, 12, 15
13.72		≈ 600	(γ, n)	10, 15
14.392 ± 5	$(\frac{3}{2}^-); \frac{3}{2}$	0.8	γ	10, 20
16.674 ± 8		42 ± 5		10, 20
16.973 ± 2	$;$ $\frac{3}{2}$	< 0.47	γ, n, p, d	4, 5, 6
17.28	$(\frac{5}{2})^-$	195	n, p, d, α	5, 6, 7, 20
17.48	$(\leq \frac{7}{2})^+$	47	n, p, d, α	5, 6, 7, 20
(18.1)			n, p, d	5, 6
(18.6)			p, d, α	5, 6
18.94			n, t	1, 20
19.6			p, d	6
(20.47 ± 40)			γ	15
(20.73 ± 40)			γ	15
(21.1 ± 500)		broad		20
(22.4 ± 700)		4700	γ, n, p	15, 16, 20
(23.9 ± 600)	+	6900		33

1. (a) ${}^6\text{Li}(t, d){}^7\text{Li}$	$Q_m = 0.995$	$E_b = 17.688$
(b) ${}^6\text{Li}(t, p){}^8\text{Li}$	$Q_m = 0.803$	
(c) ${}^6\text{Li}(t, n){}^8\text{Be}$	$Q_m = 16.023$	
(d) ${}^6\text{Li}(t, \alpha){}^5\text{He}$	$Q_m = 15.160$	

The differential cross section for reaction (a) at 90° measured up to $E_t = 1.90$ MeV increases rapidly with energy below the coulomb barrier and then approaches a constant value. At 1.50 MeV, the total cross section for formation of the ground state of ${}^7\text{Li}$ is 190 mb; that for the first excited state is 4 times less (1961HO21: see also (1957JA37)). Angular distributions for both groups show maxima in the forward hemisphere. It is suggested that the large cross section indicates a cluster exchange process – here the exchange of t and d (1961HO1F, 1961HO21).

The 0° differential cross section for reaction (c) increases monotonically between 0.10 and 2.4 MeV (1960SE12, 1961VA43, 1962SE1A) except for a resonance at $E_t = 1.875$ MeV, corresponding to an excited state of ${}^9\text{Be}$ at 18.937 MeV. The total cross section for neutron production at $E_t = 2.12$ MeV is 324 ± 32 mb (1961VA43). See also (1963JA1E).

The 90° differential cross section for reaction (d) rises from 0.75 mb/sr at 0.62 MeV to 5.7 mb/sr at 1.8 MeV and then decreases slowly to 5.3 mb/sr at 2.2 MeV (see (1957JA37)). See also ${}^5\text{He}$, ${}^7\text{Li}$, ${}^8\text{Li}$ and ${}^8\text{Be}$.

2. ${}^6\text{Li}(\alpha, p){}^9\text{Be}$	$Q_m = -2.126$
	$Q_0 = -2.1256 \pm 0.012$ (1965BR28).

Angular distributions of ground-state protons have been measured at $E_\alpha = 10.15, 11.5$ and 13.5 MeV (1960MA15) 13.6 and 14.7 MeV (1962KO13) and 30 MeV (1960KL03). At 30 MeV, strong backward peaking is evident (1960KL03). A qualitative account of the observations can be given if heavy-particle stripping ($p + {}^5\text{He} + \alpha$) is assumed (1962HO1C). See also (1956WA29).

3. ${}^6\text{Li}({}^6\text{Li}, {}^3\text{He}){}^9\text{Be}$	$Q_m = 1.895$
---	---------------

See (1964KI02).

4. ${}^7\text{Li}(d, \gamma){}^9\text{Be}$	$Q_m = 16.693$
--	----------------

In the region $E_d = 0.1$ to 1.1 MeV, a resonance in the yield of capture γ -rays is observed at $E_d = 362 \pm 3$ keV (1965WO01), 361 ± 2 keV (1965IM01), corresponding to an excited state in ${}^9\text{Be}$ at 16.973 ± 0.002 MeV. The width of the level is less than 470 eV (c.m.). The small width

Table 9.3: Electromagnetic transitions in ${}^9\text{Be}$

Transition	Remarks	Reactions
1.67 \rightarrow g.s.	$\Gamma(\text{E1}) = 4.5 \text{ eV}$	18
2.43 \rightarrow g.s.	$\Gamma(\text{M1}) = 0.12 \text{ eV}$	18
	$\Gamma(\text{E2}) = 2.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$	18
6.66 \rightarrow g.s.	$\Gamma(\text{E2}) = 0.109 \text{ eV}$	18
14.39 \rightarrow g.s.	$\Gamma(\text{M1}) = 18 \text{ eV}$	18
14.39 \rightarrow 2.43	$\Gamma_\gamma = 31 \text{ eV}$	10, 18
16.97 \rightarrow g.s.	rel. intensity = 100	4
\rightarrow 1.67	8.5	4
\rightarrow 2.43	10.6	4
\rightarrow 3.03	≤ 4.5	4
\rightarrow 4.70	9.6	4
20.47 \rightarrow g.s.		15
20.73 \rightarrow g.s.		15
22.4 \rightarrow g.s.	giant resonance: $\Gamma \approx 4.7 \text{ MeV}$	16

of the level and the correspondence with ${}^9\text{Li}^*(2.69)$ argues for $T = \frac{3}{2}$ (1965WO01). The angular distribution of the γ -rays to the ground state is isotropic to within 7%. The branching ratios to the ground state, and to the levels at 1.67, 2.43, 3.03 and 4.70 MeV are $100/8.5 \pm 4.3/10.6 \pm 5.3/\leq 4.5/9.6 \pm 4.8$. An upper limit for non-resonant, direct capture is $1.6 \mu\text{b}$. The neutron decay of ${}^9\text{Be}^*(2.43)$ and ${}^9\text{Be}^*(4.70)$ is observed (1965IM01).

5. (a) ${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \text{n}){}^8\text{Be}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = 15.028$ $E_{\text{b}} = 16.693$
 (b) ${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \alpha){}^5\text{He}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = 14.165$
 (c) ${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \text{n}){}^4\text{He} + {}^4\text{He}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = 15.122$

The cross sections for reactions (a) and (b) have been measured for $E_{\text{d}} = 70$ to 110 keV (1955RA14), 30 to 250 keV (1953SA1A) and 330 to 400 keV (1965IM01). The 0.36 MeV resonance is observed here also, in the yield of neutrons with $E_{\text{n}} > 10 \text{ MeV}$: the ratio of the partial width of the 16.97 MeV level for emission of neutrons to ${}^8\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}$ to that for emission of γ -rays is ≈ 1.5 , while $\Gamma_{\alpha_0}/\Gamma_\gamma < 20$ (1965IM01).

The yield of neutrons has been measured from 0.2 to 4.8 MeV by (1952BA64, 1957SL01: see also (1947BE1A, 1949WH1A)), and the yield of α -particles has been measured from $E_{\text{d}} = 0.2$ to 0.3 MeV by (1964MA60) and for 0.7 to 3.0 MeV by (1963PA04). Resonances for neutron

Table 9.4: Resonances in ${}^7\text{Li} + \text{d}$

${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \text{p}){}^8\text{Li}$		${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \text{n}){}^8\text{Be}$	${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \alpha){}^5\text{He}$	E_x (MeV)	J^π
E_{res}	Γ (keV)	E_{res}	E_{res}		
0.361 ^a	< 0.5			16.973	
0.77 ^b	250	0.68 ^e	(0.7) ^f	17.28	$(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2})^-$
1.02 ^b	60	0.98 ^e	(1.0) ^f	17.48	$(\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{7}{2})^+$
(1.375) ^c	51			(17.76)	
2.0 ^d		(1.8) ^e		(18.1)	
2.5 ^d			(2.5) ^f	(18.6)	
3.7 ^d				19.6	

^a (1965IM01, 1965WO01); see also ${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \gamma){}^9\text{Be}$.

^b (1952BA64, 1954BA46).

^c (1960SE08; see also (1952BA64)).

^d (1956BE1A; see also (1963SE1F)).

^e (1952BA64, 1957SL01).

^f (1963PA04).

production are observed at $E_d = 0.68, 0.98$ and (1.8) MeV (Table 9.4). At $E_d = 0.90$ MeV, α -particles from reaction (b) are isotropic within 2%, consistent with formation by s-wave deuterons (1957RI39). The angular correlation of ground-state α -particles with those resulting from the breakup of ${}^5\text{He}$ indicates $J = \frac{5}{2}^-$ for the ${}^9\text{Be}$ level mainly responsible for the reaction at $E_d = 0.9$ MeV (1956RI37); a similar observation at $E_d = 0.16$ MeV suggests $J = \frac{3}{2}^-$ for the responsible level (1957FA10). See also (1964FE01). At $E_d = 0.9$ MeV, reactions (a) and (c) account for less than 10% of the disintegrations at this energy (1956RI37). Polarization of ground-state neutrons has been measured by (1962HE06).

The excitation function for reaction (b) shows indications of the $E_d = 0.7$ and 1.0 MeV resonances (unresolved in this work) as well as broad structure at $E_d = 2.5$ MeV. There is no indication of resonance at 1.8 MeV in this ground-state α -yield. Also reported are α -particles from reaction (a) associated with the ${}^8\text{Be}$ excited states at $11.3, 16.6$ and 16.9 MeV; the α -particles from the decay of the 11.3 MeV state seem to show resonance behavior at $E_d = 0.7, 1.0$ and 1.75 MeV. It is not clear whether the α -particles corresponding to ${}^8\text{Be}^*(16.6)$ show resonance at $E_d = 2.5$ MeV or whether the ${}^8\text{Be}^*(16.9)$ α -particles are appearing at this point (1963PA04). See also (1964BI10, 1965BI1F, 1965JO19), ${}^5\text{He}$ and ${}^8\text{Be}$.

6. ${}^7\text{Li}(\text{d}, \text{p}){}^8\text{Li}$

$$Q_m = -0.192$$

$$E_b = 16.693$$

The yield of ${}^8\text{Li}$ (ground-state protons) has been measured for $E_d = 0.29$ to 0.78 MeV. A resonance is observed at $E_d = 360 \pm 3$ keV with $\Gamma < 2$ keV. The ratio Γ_p/Γ_γ is ≈ 0.5 (1965IM01, 1965WO01): see also ${}^7\text{Li}(d, \gamma){}^9\text{Be}$.

The yield of ${}^8\text{Li}(p_0 + p_1)$ has been measured in the range $E_d = 0.4$ to 3.3 MeV by (1952BA64, 1954BA46, 1960KA05) and from 1.1 to 4 MeV by (1956BE1A: stacked foils). Ground-state protons have been examined from $E_d = 1.0$ to 4.0 MeV by (1960SE08, 1963SE1F). Resonances are reported at 0.77 , 1.02 , (1.375) , 2.0 , 2.5 and 3.7 MeV (Table 9.4), apparently superposed on a rising background. The total cross section at $E_d = 0.77$ MeV is 150 ± 35 mb (1954BA46), 176 ± 15 mb (1960KA05). From $E_d = 1.0$ to 4.0 MeV, angular distributions are unusually well described by stripping theory; the resonance at $E_d = 1.375$ MeV shows no effect on the angular distribution (1960SE08, 1963SE1F).

The yield of 0.95 MeV γ -rays from ${}^7\text{Li}(d, p){}^8\text{Li}^*$ rises smoothly from $E_d = 1.9$ to 3.3 MeV. Above $E_d = 2.3$ MeV, the isotropy of the γ -rays is taken to indicate predominance of the stripping process (1962CH14).

7. ${}^7\text{Li}(d, d){}^7\text{Li}$

$$E_b = 16.693$$

The upper limit for the relative partial width for elastic scattering at $E_d = 0.36$ MeV (${}^9\text{Be}^* = 16.97$), $\Gamma_{d_0}/\Gamma_\gamma$, is 400 (1965IM01).

The elastic scattering has been studied from $E_d = 0.4$ to 1.8 MeV by (1964FO13). The scattering cross sections lie below the Rutherford values at the lower energies. A marked increase occurs in the range $E_d = 0.8$ to 1.0 MeV, and a conspicuous anomaly occurs at $E_d = 1.0$ MeV. A rising background is ascribed to other overlapping resonances. The scattering below $E_d = 1.0$ MeV can be satisfactorily accounted for by s-waves: the variation of parameters is consistent with – but does not require – a level at $E_d = 0.8$ MeV. The resonance at 1 MeV appears to be due to p-wave deuterons (1964FO13). See also ${}^7\text{Li}$ and (1958RO49).

8. (a) ${}^7\text{Li}(d, t){}^6\text{Li}$

$$Q_m = -0.995$$

$$E_b = 16.693$$

(b) ${}^7\text{Li}(d, {}^3\text{He}){}^6\text{He}$

$$Q_m = -4.486$$

The cross section for reaction (a) rises steeply from threshold to 95 mb at $E_d = 2.4$ MeV and then more slowly to about 165 mb at $E_d = 4.1$ MeV (1955MA20). See also ${}^6\text{He}$ and ${}^6\text{Li}$.

9. ${}^7\text{Li}(t, n){}^9\text{Be}$

$$Q_m = 10.435$$

See (1959AJ77, 1962SE1A) and ${}^{10}\text{Be}$.

Table 9.5: Excited states of ${}^9\text{Be}$ from ${}^7\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{p}){}^9\text{Be}$ ^a

(1955AL57)		(1958MO99)	(1963CA02, 1965MA1E)		(1965CO1F)	
E_x	Γ	E_x	E_x	Γ	E_x	Γ
1.80 ± 100	< 400	1.83 ± 40	1.70 ± 30	340	1.80	
2.39 ± 80	< 200	$\equiv 2.428$	2.430 ± 9		2.428 ± 6	< 35
3.06 ± 80	< 300	3.10 ± 40	3.01 ± 90	190	3.03 ± 20	270 ± 30
4.74 ± 80	1200	4.80 ± 150 ^b			4.51 ± 100	730 ± 150
9.1 ± 200	1200				6.67 ± 100	1400 ± 200
					11.29 ± 50	640 ± 70
					11.82 ± 20	410 ± 30
					13.72	600
					14.392 ± 5 ^c	0.8
					16.674 ± 8	42 ± 5

^a E_x in MeV \pm keV, Γ in keV. See also (1954MO92).

^b $\Gamma = 1250 \pm 250$ keV.

^c (1965GR08, 1965LY01).

10. ${}^7\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{p}){}^9\text{Be}$

$$Q_m = 11.199$$

Observed proton groups are listed in Table 9.5 (1955AL57, 1958MO99, 1963CA02, 1965CO1F, 1965MA1E). The γ -decay of the narrow 14.39 MeV state to the ground and 2.4 MeV states indicates $\Gamma_{\gamma_0}/\Gamma_p = 0.023 \pm 0.005$, $\Gamma_{\gamma_1}/\Gamma_p = 0.04 \pm 0.01$. Assuming $\Gamma_{\gamma_0} = 18$ eV (see ${}^9\text{Be}(e, e')$), $\Gamma = 0.8 \pm 0.3$ keV for the 14.39 MeV state. This level is presumed to be the lowest $T = \frac{3}{2}$ state of ${}^9\text{Be}$, analogous to the ${}^9\text{Li}$ ground state (1965GR08, 1965LY01). There is some evidence also for the γ -decay of a state at ≈ 17 MeV, presumably the $T = \frac{3}{2}$ analogue of the first excited state of ${}^9\text{Li}$ (1965GR08: see also ${}^7\text{Li}(d, \gamma)$). See also (1961WO05) and ${}^{10}\text{B}$.

11. ${}^7\text{Li}(\alpha, d){}^9\text{Be}$

$$Q_m = -7.154$$

The ground-state angular distribution has been observed at $E_\alpha = 48$ MeV (1960CE01). See also (1962MA59).

12. ${}^7\text{Li}({}^6\text{Li}, \alpha){}^9\text{Be}$

$$Q_m = 15.220$$

At $E(^7\text{Li}) = 2.9$ MeV, α -particle groups are observed corresponding to $^9\text{Be}^*(0, 1.75, 2.4, 3.0, 11.9 \pm 0.2$ MeV); the last has $\Gamma = 500 \pm 100$ keV. No other levels are observed for $E_x < 13.0$ MeV (1964ME07). See also (1963GA02, 1963HU02, 1964KI02, 1965BE1X).

13. $^9\text{Li}(\beta^-)^9\text{Be}$ $Q_m = 13.615$

^9Li decays to the ground state (25 ± 15 %) and to $^9\text{Be}^*(2.4)$ (75 ± 15 %): $\log ft = 5.5 \pm 0.2$ and 4.7 ± 0.2 , respectively. The allowed character of the transition is consistent with $^9\text{Be}^*(2.4) = \frac{5}{2}^-$ and $^9\text{Li}(0) = \frac{3}{2}^-$ or $\frac{5}{2}^-$ (1963AL18). See also ^9Li .

14. $^9\text{Be}(\gamma, \gamma)^9\text{Be}$

See (1964LO1C).

15. (a) $^9\text{Be}(\gamma, n)^8\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -1.665$
 (b) $^9\text{Be}(\gamma, \alpha)^5\text{He}$ $Q_m = -2.528$
 (c) $^9\text{Be}(\gamma, n)^4\text{He} + ^4\text{He}$ $Q_m = -1.570$
 (d) $^9\text{Be}(\gamma, 2n)^7\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -20.561$

The photoneutron cross section has been measured from threshold ($E_\gamma = 1664 \pm 4$ keV: (1956CO56)) to 320 MeV: see Table 9.6. A sharp peak occurs just above threshold, at $E_\gamma = 1.70$ MeV (1960WA06, 1961JA13) followed by a weak maximum at 2.4 MeV, a strong sharp peak at 2.95 MeV, and a broad maximum at 4.6 MeV (1961JA13). (1959TH15) find well-defined peaks at 11.3 ± 0.2 and 13.3 ± 0.2 MeV, with integrated cross sections of 4.0 and 3.9 MeV · mb. A considerable yield in the range 8 – 11 MeV is also indicated (1959TH16). The giant resonance occurs at 20 – 22 MeV (1953JO1B, 1953NA1A, 1956CO59). At $E_\gamma = 6.1$ MeV, the main processes appear to involve $^9\text{Be}(\gamma, n)^8\text{Be}^*(2.9)$ and reaction (b) (1954CA1A). For $E_\gamma = 18$ to 25 MeV, $\approx 80\%$ of (γ, n) processes lead to $^8\text{Be}^*(16.6)$ (1964BE30). See also (1960SE1D, 1965KO08, 1965KO1B).

The total nuclear absorption cross section is about 2 mb at $E_\gamma = 10$ MeV: a deep minimum at ≈ 13 MeV is followed by a rise to ≈ 5 mb at 18 MeV and a slow decrease to ≈ 3 mb at 35 MeV (1965WY02). Fine structure is reported at $E_\gamma = 20.47 \pm 0.04$ and 20.73 ± 0.04 MeV (1964TE04). See also (1962MI15).

Measurements involving time-of-flight analysis of the neutron energies show the 1.7 and 3 MeV resonances in ground-state neutrons (n_0), but ascribe the 4.6 MeV structure to slow neutrons, arising from reactions (b), (c) or from n_1 to $^8\text{Be}^*(2.9)$: ground-state neutrons account for $< 10\%$ of the total at this energy. The yield of n_0 remains essentially constant from 5 to 16 MeV, aside from a broad maximum near 10 MeV and a shallow minimum at 13 MeV. The yield of n_1 rises

Table 9.6: The ${}^9\text{Be}(\gamma, n){}^8\text{Be}$ cross section

E_γ (MeV)	σ (mb)	References
1.69 (${}^{124}\text{Sb}$)	1.262 ± 0.069	1959GI48
	1.31 ± 0.08	1962JO17
1.69 (brems.)	1.2 ± 0.12	1960WA06
1.70 (brems.)	1.15 ± 0.15	1961JA13
1.72 (${}^{206}\text{Bi}$)	1.22 ± 0.11	1962JO17
1.77 (${}^{56}\text{Mn}$)	0.6	1948RU1A: see 1953HA1B, 1957ED01
1.78 (${}^{28}\text{Al}$)	0.88 ± 0.06	1962JO17
1.85 (${}^{88}\text{Y}$)	0.654 ± 0.031	1959GI48
2.185 (${}^{144}\text{Pr}$)	0.39	1953HA1B
2.40 (brems.)	0.55 ± 0.1	1961JA13
2.5 (${}^{140}\text{La}$)	0.5	1948RU1A: see 1953HA1B
2.61 (THC'')	0.39 ± 0.02	1957ED01
2.76 (${}^{24}\text{Na}$)	0.7	1948RU1A: see 1953HA1B
	0.674 ± 0.05	1950SN67
2.95 (brems.)	1.2 ± 0.2	1961JA13
4.4 (${}^{15}\text{N}(\text{p}, \alpha){}^{12}\text{C}$)	0.186 ± 0.32	1957ED01
4.6 (brems.)	1.0 ± 0.3	1961JA13
6.2 (${}^{19}\text{F}(\text{p}, \alpha){}^{16}\text{O}$)	1.13 ± 0.1	1957ED01
8.1 (${}^{13}\text{C}(\text{p}, \gamma){}^{14}\text{N}$)	1.38 ± 0.16	1957ED01
10 ^a (brems.)	1.6	1953NA1A
11.25 \pm 0.2 ^b (brems.)	4.7	1959TH15
12 (brems.)	≈ 0	1959TH15
13.25 \pm 0.2 ^c (brems.)	3.3	1959TH15
14.2 (brems.)	0.1	1959TH15
16 (brems.)	1.0	1959TH15
18 (brems.)	0.8	1953NA1A, 1959TH15
22 ^d (brems.)	3.0	1953NA1A
25 – 320 (brems.)	3 – 2	1953JO1B

^a Broad resonance: $\Gamma \approx 8$ MeV.

^b $(2J + 1)\Gamma_\gamma = 530$ eV.

^c $(2J + 1)\Gamma_\gamma = 720$ eV.

^d Giant resonance.

sharply near 6 MeV, showing signs of a sharp peak at 7 MeV and remains high until about 10 MeV where it falls rapidly to a minimum at 13 – 14 MeV. There is evidence of a broad peak near 12 MeV (1963DE1J). For $E_\gamma = 5.6$ to 8.5 MeV, the relative contribution of n_0 ranges from 0.5 to 0.3 (1963BO32).

Angular distributions of neutrons from the 1.67 and 4.6 MeV levels are isotropic; those from the 2.4 MeV level show slightly higher yield at $\theta = 90^\circ$. Neutrons from the 3.0 MeV level have the distribution $\sigma(\theta) = 1 + (1.0 \pm 0.2) \sin^2 \theta$, compatible with E1 excitation to a $\frac{5}{2}^+$ D-state (1961JA13; see also (1949HA1A, 1961BA1G)). Some fore and aft asymmetry may indicate the presence of odd-parity amplitudes (1965PH1B). The fact that the 3.0 MeV state is strongly excited in (γ, n) and not in $180^\circ (e, e')$ (M1 excitation) also indicates positive parity (1961BL1D). The same argument suggests positive parity (E1 transitions) for levels at 1.7, 6.8, 7.9, 9.2, 11.3 and 13.3 MeV (1959TH16). For $E_\gamma = 5.6$ to 8.5 MeV, angular distributions are of the form $1 + A \sin^2 \theta$, with $A = 0.20$ to 0.27, consistent with $P_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow D_{\frac{3}{2}}$ transitions (1962BO08, 1962BO21, 1963BO32). Evidence for polarization of neutrons is reported by (1964CO17). See also (1959KU84, 1961VA09, 1964AL33).

Calculations of the (γ, n) cross section near threshold have been made by (1960FR1B), using a single-particle model with a diffuse-surface Saxon-Woods potential and assuming a $\frac{1}{2}^+$ level at $E_x = 1.70$ MeV. A good account of the observations is obtained, but with a rather large diffuseness parameter, $a = 1.2$ fm, in the final state. If the parameter is taken to be $a = 0.6$ fm, the calculated cross section is about 1.6 times too high. According to (1961BL1D), a model in which the neutron in ${}^9\text{Be}(0)$ is strongly coupled to a deformed ${}^8\text{Be}$ core leads to a sizable probability of excitation of the core to the 2^+ state, reducing the calculated cross section at 1.7 MeV. The 4.7 MeV structure is then ascribed to transformations in which ${}^8\text{Be}$ is left in the excited 2^+ state. A calculation of the low-energy excitation function has been made by (1963CO05) using the same model as (1960FR1B) but fixing the final state diffuseness parameter at $a = 0.6$ fm, and varying the final state potential depth. The slope and magnitude of the observed (γ, n) cross section are best accounted for by a bound s-state, with energy 19_{-5}^{+9} keV below threshold (1963CO05). (1961BA1G) considers couplings of 1s and 1d neutrons to ${}^8\text{Be}(0)$ and ${}^8\text{Be}(2^+)$. With the 1.7 MeV level assumed $\frac{1}{2}^+$, $\frac{3}{2}^+$ and $\frac{5}{2}^+$ levels are predicted at 4.88 and 4.05 MeV, respectively. It is suggested that the 3.03 MeV level is an unresolved combination of $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{5}{2}^+$. See also (1961GU1C, 1961KO1J, 1962CU05, 1962KO11, 1963CO1D, 1963SA09, 1964BI1E, 1964FR1C, 1964MA2E, 1965WE1E).

The cross section for reaction (c) is $< 1 \mu\text{b}$ at $E_\gamma = 1.63$ MeV (1952AL26, 1952AL30). For reaction (d) see (1957LO1A).

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 16. (a) ${}^9\text{Be}(\gamma, p){}^8\text{Li}$ | $Q_m = -16.885$ |
| (b) ${}^9\text{Be}(\gamma, np){}^7\text{Li}$ | $Q_m = -18.917$ |

The yield shows structure in the energy region corresponding to the ${}^9\text{Be}$ levels at 17 – 19 MeV (1962CL06), followed by the giant resonance at $E_\gamma = 22.2$ MeV (1953HA1A: $\Gamma = 4.7$ MeV, $\sigma =$

2.72 mb), ≈ 23 MeV (1962CL06: $\sigma = 2.64 \pm 0.30$ mb). The angular and energy distributions of photoprotons in various energy intervals have been studied by (1956CO59, 1956KL19, 1962CH26, 1963KI1C, 1965KO08). At $E_{\text{brems. (peak)}} = 335$ MeV, the polarization of photoprotons at 45° , 56° and 90° is small and is consistent with zero (1962LI13). See also (1959CH25, 1961MA36, 1962CU05, 1962MI15, 1962VO1D) and (1959AJ76).

17. (a) ${}^9\text{Be}(\gamma, d){}^7\text{Li}$ $Q_m = -16.693$
 (b) ${}^9\text{Be}(\gamma, t){}^6\text{Li}$ $Q_m = -17.688$

The cross section for reaction (a) is appreciable only for γ -energies greater than the sum of the threshold energy and the binding energy of the most weakly bound nucleon in ${}^7\text{Li}$. The main processes involved are then thought to be those in which the emission of deuterons is accompanied by one or more nucleons (1962BA62, 1962CH26, 1962VO1D). See also (1959CH25, 1960SH1D, 1962CU05, 1962MA1F, 1963BA1K, 1964SH1B, 1965KO08) and (1959AJ76).

18. ${}^9\text{Be}(e, e){}^9\text{Be}$

Elastic scattering of electrons has been studied at $E_e = 42, 80, 125, 150, 190$ and 300 MeV (1953HO79, 1954MC45, 1959ME24, 1963GO04). Analysis of the 190 and 300 MeV results has been carried out in terms of various models for the charge distribution; the r.m.s. radius is about 2.60 ± 0.2 fm, depending on the model. At large values of momentum transfer, a static spherical distribution seems inadequate and a contribution from the quadrupole distribution may be required (1959ME24). According to (1960WA1J) the 300 MeV data can be matched with a Fermi distribution plus a quadrupole distortion consistent with $Q = 0.02$ b. See also (1961WA1C, 1963GU1A). Magnetic scattering at $\theta = 180^\circ$ gives indication of both M1 and M3 contributions (1965RA1C: see also (1963GO04)).

Inelastic scattering reveals a number of levels from 1.6 to 16.9 MeV (see Table 9.7). Of these, only the 2.43 , (14.7) , and (16.9) MeV levels are strong in 180° scattering, where M1 transitions should dominate (1960BA47, 1962BA1D, 1962ED02). Studies of the 2.4 and 6.7 MeV levels at smaller angles reveal strong E2 components; quantitative comparison with form factors and absolute cross sections predicted by the α -rotational model (1960IN1A) indicate $J^\pi = \frac{5}{2}^-$ and $\frac{7}{2}^-$, respectively, for these two levels. The excitation energies and transition widths are consistent with the assumption that they are members of a $K = \frac{3}{2}^-$ rotational band based on the ground state. The derived intrinsic g.s. quadrupole moment $Q_0 = 0.26 \pm 0.01$ b is nearly twice that obtained from the spectroscopic value $Q_0 = 5 \times 0.029$ b (1963NG01). In both this work and calculations of (1962KU1C) the rotational α -model shows increasing deviations from experiment for momentum transfer $q > 1$ fm $^{-1}$; the Nilsson model has the same fault, but in opposite sense. An intermediate coupling model with enhanced quadrupole charge distribution is superior (1962KU1C). See also (1961WA1C, 1965RA1D).

Table 9.7: Levels of ${}^9\text{Be}$ from ${}^9\text{Be}(e, e'){}^9\text{Be}^*$

E_x in ${}^9\text{Be}$ (MeV)	Γ (keV)	Transition	J^π	Γ_γ (eV)	Reference
1.6 ± 0.2		(E1)	$(\frac{1}{2})^+$	4.5 ± 0.6	(1963NG01)
2.47 ± 0.02		M1	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0.13 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.12 \pm 0.02 \end{array} \right.$	(1960BA47)
		E2			(1962ED02)
6.4 ± 0.1	2 ± 0.5	E2	$\frac{7}{2}^-$	$(2.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$	(1963NG01)
14.7 ± 0.3		E2	$\frac{7}{2}^-$	0.109 ± 0.005	(1963NG01)
16.9 ± 0.4		M1	$(\frac{3}{2})^-$	18 ± 9	(1962ED02)
					(1960BA47)

Comparison of the inelastic scattering from the 1.6 MeV level at two angles indicates that this level is most probably excited by E1 (1963NG01). The fact that the 1.6, 3.0 and 4.7 MeV levels appear strongly in (γ, n) but not in (e, e') at 180° suggests that these levels are excited by E1 transitions (1962ED02). [The 14.7 MeV level is presumably the $T = \frac{3}{2}, J = (\frac{3}{2})^-$ analogue of the ${}^9\text{Li}$ ground state; the 16.9 MeV level may correspond to the first excited state.] In the range 17 to 49 MeV, inelastic scattering appears to be largely via E1, E3, M2 to the exclusion of M1, E2, M3 (1962NG02, 1963NG1B, 1964NG1A).

19. (a) ${}^9\text{Be}(n, n){}^9\text{Be}$

(b) ${}^9\text{Be}(n, 2n){}^8\text{Be}$

$$Q_m = -1.665$$

The neutron spectrum observed when ${}^9\text{Be}$ is bombarded with 3.7 MeV neutrons exhibits a structure which is consistent with the excitation of the ground states and the levels at 1.7, 2.4 and 3.1 MeV, with subsequent neutron emission from the latter two. It is concluded that the $(n, 2n)$ process at this energy proceeds mainly via discrete states of ${}^9\text{Be}$ (1957HU14, 1958WA05). Time-of-flight studies from $E_n = 2.6$ to 6.0 MeV show that about $\frac{1}{2}$ of the inelastic processes involve ${}^9\text{Be}^*(2.43)$. A continuous distribution may be ascribed either to ${}^9\text{Be}^*(1.7)$ or to $(n, 2n)$. Examination of the spectra at $E_n = 3.5$ MeV yields the result that the 2.43 MeV level decays only $12 \pm 5\%$ via ${}^8\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}$ (1959MA34). At $E_n = 14$ MeV, evidence is found for the participation of the 6.8 MeV level. A search for an angular correlation between outgoing neutrons yielded a negative result (1963JE05). At $E_n = 14$ MeV, the cross section for production of ${}^9\text{Be}^*(2.4)$ is 200 ± 45 mb (1961CO1E), 200 ± 100 mb (1961MY01), 170 ± 30 mb (1958AN32) comparison with $\sigma(n, 2n) = 500$ mb (1964ST25) indicates that about $\frac{1}{3}$ of the $(n, 2n)$ processes proceed via ${}^9\text{Be}^*(2.4)$ (1958AN32). Elastic and inelastic neutron angular distributions show forward peaking at $E_n = 14$ MeV (1958AN32, 1958NA09). See also (1958BE1E, 1959CH1E, 1959SA04,

1959WI41, 1960BA24, 1960BA28, 1960LU1B, 1960MC04, 1963OP1A, 1964BO31, 1964CR1B, 1965LO1K, 1965RO1U) and ^{10}Be .

20. $^9\text{Be}(p, p)^9\text{Be}$

Elastic scattering has been studied at $E_p = 6$ MeV (1963BL20), 5 to 15 MeV (1964BI19), 10 MeV (1956RA32), 6, 8 and 12 MeV (1963TE1B), 12 MeV (1958SU14), 14.5, 20 and 31.5 MeV (1956KI54), 16.6 to 36.6 MeV (1965AR1E), 18.9 MeV (1956DA03), 31 MeV (1953WRZZ, 1954FI35, 1956BE14), 48 MeV (1965WI1H), 142 MeV (1961TA06), 143 MeV (1964ST16), 160 MeV (1965RO1T), 316 MeV (1956CH80) and 725 MeV (1965MC04). All angular distributions show pronounced diffraction maxima characteristic of the optical model. Analysis in terms of the diffuse-surface optical model is discussed by (1957ME21). See also (1956KL55, 1959HI1H, 1959JO43, 1960NE09, 1960NE11, 1960SA28, 1961IS05, 1961JO18, 1961RE03, 1964CR1B, 1964SA1L, 1964VE1A).

Inelastic scattering is observed to states at 1.7, 2.4, 3.1, 4.8, 6.8, 7.9, 11.3 MeV and others: see Table 9.8. The structure at $^9\text{Be}^*(1.7)$ is unsymmetrical, rising abruptly from threshold at $Q = -1.669$ MeV to a peak within < 14 keV (1962BR09). The width at half-maximum is 175 ± 25 keV (c.m.), indicating dominant s-wave emission of neutrons (1960SP08). According to (1960SP08) the structure does not represent a true state, but results from the spatial localization of the low-energy neutron and ^8Be after emission of the inelastically scattered proton. Using a specialized density-of-states function, they obtain a good match to the experimental shape in this hypothesis. See also (1963PH1A). On the other hand, (1962BA1C) find an equally good fit with a density-of-states function of Breit-Wigner shape, corresponding to a $J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^+$ level at $E_x = 1.75$ MeV with width $\gamma_0^2 = 1.01$ MeV ($R = 4.35$ fm), parameters which also fit the (γ, n) cross section. At $E_p = 18.9$ MeV, the 1.7 MeV group is not observed; the upper limit to the intensity of the group is $< 2\%$ of that to the 2.4 MeV state (1962SC12). See also (1955GO48, 1956BO18, 1958MI1C, 1958SU14, 1964BI19, 1964SC1F, 1965WI1H).

The energy of the 2.4 MeV level is given as 2433 ± 5 (1951BR72), 2434 ± 5 (1956BO18), 2432 ± 4 (1955GO48), 2430 ± 5 keV (1960SP08); the width is ≤ 1 keV (1955GO48), ≤ 3 keV (1960SP08). Angular distributions of protons leading to the 2.4 MeV state are not well matched by plane wave direct interaction calculations (1962SC12). The 12 MeV data suggest $l = 0$ and 2 ($J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^-$ or $\frac{5}{2}^-$) (1958SU14) while the 31 MeV data are best fitted by $l = 2$ (1956BE14, 1958SU14, 1962SC12). It appears that the relative inelastic cross sections for various levels are largely independent of bombarding energy or particle; in particular, the 2.4 and 6.8 MeV levels are always strong and the 1.7, 3.0 and 4.8 MeV levels always weak. It is suggested that the strong excitation of the former is relative to a collective enhancement of electric multipole transition strengths connecting them to the ground state (1958BL57, 1959PI45, 1962SC12). The excitation energies and inelastic cross sections are consistent with the assumption that they have $J^\pi = \frac{5}{2}^-$ and $\frac{7}{2}^-$, respectively. On the collective model, they are members of the ground state $K = \frac{3}{2}^-$ band: the $J = \frac{9}{2}^-$ member is then expected at 10 – 11 MeV (1958BL57). See also (1964JA03, 1965HA17).

Table 9.8: Levels of ${}^9\text{Be}$ from ${}^9\text{Be}(p, p'){}^9\text{Be}^*$ ^a

(1955GO48, 1956BO18, 1960SP08)			(1956BE14)	(1952BR52)	(1958SU14)	(1962SC12)	(1965HA17)	
E_x	Γ	J^π	E_x	E_x	E_x	E_x	E_x	Γ
1.675 ± 2 ^b	175 ± 25	$\frac{1}{2}^+$			1.83			
2.432 ± 3	≤ 1		2.46 ± 50 ^c	2.5	2.43	2.43 ^c	2.35 ± 100	
3.030 ± 30	250 ± 50	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+$			(3.1)	3.04		
			5.0 ± 300		4.8	4.74	4.8 ± 200	
			6.76 ± 60 ^d	6.8	6.8	6.76 ^e	6.5 ± 300	1200 ± 200
			7.94 ± 80				7.9 ± 300	≈ 1000
			11.3 ± 200	11.6			11.2 ± 300	≈ 1000
			(14.5)				14.4 ± 300	≈ 1000
			(17.5)				16.7 ± 300	broad
			(19.9 \pm 100)				17.4 ± 300	broad
			(21.7 \pm 100)				19.0 ± 400	broad
							21.1 ± 500	broad
							22.4 ± 700	broad

^a E_x in MeV \pm keV, Γ in keV. See also (1951BR72, 1956ST30, 1958TY46, 1964JA03, 1965W11H).

^b Low-energy cutoff.

^c $J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^-$.

^d $J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^+$.

^e $\Gamma = 1200 \pm 300$ keV.

The 3 MeV state has a width 250 ± 50 keV (1960SP08): $E_x = 3.03 \pm 0.03$ MeV (1956BO18), 3.04 ± 0.05 MeV (1960SP08). Comparison of the width with that of the mirror level ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.79)$ suggests that the level decays either to ${}^8\text{Be}(0)$ via d-wave or to ${}^8\text{Be}(2^+)$ via s-wave: $J = \frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+$ (1960SP08). See also (1955GR12, 1958TY46, 1960LU1B, 1961IS05, 1963BA1R, 1963BL20, 1963RU05).

21. ${}^9\text{Be}(p, d){}^8\text{Be}$

$$Q_m = 0.559$$

Angular distributions of ground-state deuterons have been studied at $E_p = 4.9, 5.5$ MeV (1961RE03), 5 to 8 MeV (1951HA1A), 6.8 MeV (1960NE09, 1960NE11), 7 MeV (1964YA1A), 10 MeV (1956RA32), 12 MeV (1958SU14), 16.5 MeV (1956RE04), 22 MeV (1953CO1C), 31 MeV (1956BE14), 95 MeV (1956SE1A) and 155 MeV (1963BA1R, 1963RA01). The distributions in the range $E_p = 5$ to 31 MeV are substantially identical, contrary to the prediction of the simple Butler theory with fixed cut-off radius (1956GL25). An analysis by (1961RE03) (see Table 9.9), shows good agreement for the 12 to 31 MeV data with inclusion of a volume interaction, leading to $\theta_n^2 = 1.2\%$. Polarization of the deuterons has been studied at $E_p = 3$ MeV (1961LA17). See also (1960BA26, 1965MO27).

At $E_p = 95$ MeV, states of ${}^8\text{Be}$ near 16 to 18 MeV are strongly excited (1956SE1A). At $E_p = 155$ MeV, states at ≈ 16.6 and ≈ 18.9 MeV are excited with 0° differential cross sections of 3.6 and 1.15 mb/sr, respectively (1963BA1R, 1963RA01).

Table 9.9: Neutron reduced widths from ${}^9\text{Be}(p, d){}^8\text{Be}$ (1961RE03)

E_p (MeV)	θ_n^2 (cut off) ^a	θ_n^2 (transp) ^b	Reference
4.85	0.0128 ± 0.0009	no fit	(1961RE03)
5.49	0.0146 ± 0.0010	no fit	(1961RE03)
12.0	0.024 ± 0.005	0.018	(1958SU14)
16.5	0.024 ± 0.007	0.012	(1956RE04)
22.0	no fit	0.012	(1953CO1C)
31.3	no fit	0.012	(1956BE14)

^a Butler theory with cut-off radius.

^b Volume interaction.

22. ${}^9\text{Be}(p, \alpha){}^6\text{Li}$ $Q_m = 2.126$

Angular distributions and excitation functions for $E_p = 3$ to 12 MeV suggest direct interaction. Analysis with DWBA in terms of ${}^9\text{Be} \rightarrow {}^6\text{Li} + t(l = 1)$ yields fair agreement for α_0 but not for α_1 (1963BL20). The observed large cross section at back angles suggests that ${}^9\text{Be}$ is better described as ${}^4\text{He} + {}^5\text{He}$ (1963SC1P). See also (1964YA1A, 1965MO27).

23. (a) ${}^9\text{Be}(p, 2p){}^8\text{Li}$ $Q_m = -16.885$

(b) ${}^9\text{Be}(p, pd){}^7\text{Li}$ $Q_m = -16.693$

(c) ${}^9\text{Be}(p, p\alpha){}^5\text{He}$ $Q_m = -2.528$

The summed proton spectrum in reaction (a), observed at $E_p = 155$ to 450 MeV shows two peaks, with $Q = -16.7 \pm 0.3$ and $Q = -25.8 \pm 0.4$ MeV, corresponding to removal of a p-proton and an s-proton respectively (1958MA1B, 1958TY49, 1961PU1A, 1962GA09, 1962GA23, 1962IN1A, 1963BE1A, 1963BE42, 1963BO1R, 1963RI1B, 1964BA1C, 1964TI02, 1965RI1A, 1966TY01).

At $E_p = 155$ MeV, p- α correlations (reaction (c)) give evidence for a substructure ${}^9\text{Be} = {}^5\text{He} + {}^4\text{He}$ in a relative s-state with a probability of 7% (1963RU05).

For reaction (b), see ${}^7\text{Li}$.

24. ${}^9\text{Be}(d, d){}^9\text{Be}$

Elastic scattering has been studied at intermediate energies by (1961BA06, 1962GR14: 7.8 MeV), (1963FR1F: 12.8 MeV), (1961IS04, 1963SA1G: 14.7 to 18.1 MeV), (1964HE01: 15.8

MeV), (1958SU14: 24 MeV), (1962SL03: 27.7 MeV). All show pronounced diffraction patterns. See also (1947GU1A, 1952EL01, 1959ZA01) and ¹¹B.

Inelastic groups are reported to states at 1.7, 2.4, 3.0, 4.8 and 6.8 MeV (1955RA41, 1956GR37, 1958MI1C, 1958SU14). The angular distributions to the 2.4 MeV state have been studied at $E_d = 15, 24$ and 27.7 MeV (1956HA90, 1958SU14, 1962SL03). Analysis by direct interaction theory yields $l = 2, J = \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^-$ or $\frac{7}{2}^-$. See also (1958BL57, 1959BL31, 1960EL09, 1960LU1B).

25. ⁹Be(d, t)⁸Be $Q_m = 4.592$

Comparison of (d, t) and (p, d) pickup cross sections leads to an estimated form factor for the triton of $4 \pm 1 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ (1961RE03); this value is about 4 times larger than that estimated by (1960MA32).

26. ⁹Be(³He, ³He)⁹Be

See (1960IG01, 1962WE1C, 1964GO1J).

27. ⁹Be(³He, α)⁸Be $Q_m = 18.913$

See ⁸Be.

28. (a) ⁹Be(α, α)⁹Be
(b) ⁹Be($\alpha, 2\alpha$)⁵He $Q_m = -2.528$

Elastic scattering has been studied at $E_\alpha = 9.5$ to 20 MeV (1965TA1C), 18.4 MeV (1964LU02), 23.8 MeV (1964GR39), 28 MeV (1964YA1A), 42 MeV (1956FA02: see (1958BL57)) and 48 MeV (1958SU14). Inelastic groups are observed to ⁹Be*(1.8, 2.4, 3.0, 6.8, 11.3) (1955RA41, 1958SU14, 1964LU02, 1964YA1A). The angular distribution of the groups corresponding to the 1.8 MeV “level” is consistent with $J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^+$ (1964LU02). The angular distribution of the $Q = -2.4$ MeV group, at $E_\alpha = 48$ MeV, indicates $l = 2, J = \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^-$ or $\frac{7}{2}^-$ (1958SU14). Analysis based on the rotational model leads to a deformation coefficient $\beta_2 = 0.46$ (1959BL31), 0.34 ± 0.01 (1964GR39).

Measurement of the momentum and angular distributions of α -particles from the breakup of ⁹Be*(2.4) indicates that the decay proceeds mainly via ⁴He + ⁵He, or by direct three-body breakup. Gamma decay is < 1%, neutron emission to ⁸Be(0) is < 10% (1957BO83: see also (1959MA34) in ⁹Be(n, n')⁹Be*, and (1962ST12)). At $E_\alpha = 25.4$ MeV, the continuum has been analyzed in

terms of a combination of three- and four-body breakup. At low energies the results are consistent with a mixture of ${}^9\text{Be} + \alpha \rightarrow {}^8\text{Be}(0) + \alpha + \text{n}$, ${}^9\text{Be}^*(2.4) \rightarrow 2\alpha + \text{n}$ or ${}^5\text{He} + {}^4\text{He}$ (1962BR14). The third excited state is located at $E_x = 3.04 \pm 0.03$ MeV, with $\Gamma = 300 \pm 50$ keV (1964LU02). See also (1958BL57, 1959PI45, 1962ST12, 1964GO1K, 1965SA1K) and ${}^{13}\text{C}$.

29. ${}^9\text{Be}({}^7\text{Li}, {}^8\text{Li}){}^8\text{Be}$ $Q_m = 0.367$

Angular distributions of ${}^8\text{Li}$ nuclei observed for $E({}^7\text{Li}) = 2$ to 4.0 MeV, show pronounced peaks at $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 60^\circ$ to 30° . The decrease of peak angle with increasing energy suggests a neutron transfer process (1957NO17, 1959NO40, 1960NO1A). The radial distribution of the ${}^9\text{Be}$ neutron is deduced for $R = 15$ to 30 fm (1960AL1H, 1960GE1B). See also (1963LE10, 1965PO1F).

30. (a) ${}^9\text{Be}({}^{14}\text{N}, {}^{14}\text{N}){}^9\text{Be}$
 (b) ${}^9\text{Be}({}^{16}\text{O}, {}^{16}\text{O}){}^9\text{Be}$

Elastic scattering in reactions (a) and (b) for $E_{\text{c.m.}} = 3$ to 15 MeV has been studied for angles near 90° (c.m.). The fact that no diffraction structure was found may reflect a more diffuse surface for ${}^9\text{Be}$ than for ${}^{12}\text{C}$ (1961KU1D, 1963KU1L). At $E({}^{14}\text{N}) = 27.3$ MeV no agreement is found with the predictions of a sharp cut-off model for elastic scattering (1959HA28). See also (1963WI1G).

31. ${}^{10}\text{B}(\gamma, \text{p}){}^9\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -6.587$

See (1956GO1G).

32. ${}^{10}\text{B}(\text{n}, \text{d}){}^9\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -4.363$

At $E_n = 14$ MeV, groups are observed corresponding to ${}^9\text{Be}^*(0, 2.43)$; no other groups are observed below $E_x \approx 5.5$ MeV. The angular distributions of the deuterons indicate odd parity, $\frac{1}{2} < J \leq \frac{9}{2}$ for both states (1954RI15). See also (1955FR1F, 1956FR18, 1963CE1B, 1964TO1C) and ${}^{11}\text{B}$.

33. ${}^{10}\text{B}(\text{p}, 2\text{p}){}^9\text{Be}$ $Q_m = -6.587$

The summed proton spectrum at $E_p = 460$ MeV yields $Q = -6.7 \pm 0.5, -11.9 \pm 0.5, -17.1 \pm 0.6$ (all $l \neq 0$), and $Q = -30.5 \pm 0.6$ MeV ($l = 0$) (1966TY01). See also (1958TY49, 1961PU1A, 1962GA09, 1962GA23). See also (1963RI1B, 1964TI02) and ^{10}B .

$$34. \ ^{10}\text{B}(\text{d}, \ ^3\text{He})^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = -1.094$$

At $E_d = 10$ MeV, $\theta = 30^\circ$, groups corresponding to $^9\text{Be}^*(0, 1.7, 2.4, 3.0)$ are observed with relative intensities 1.0/0.07/0.70/0.16 (1965SY02).

$$35. \ ^{10}\text{B}(\text{t}, \alpha)^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = 13.227$$

At $E_t = 1$ MeV, α -groups are observed corresponding to $^9\text{Be}^*(2.39, 3.06)$ (1955AL57). See also (1963HO19).

$$36. \ ^{10}\text{B}(^{14}\text{N}, \ ^{15}\text{O})^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = 0.706$$

At $E(^{14}\text{N}) = 27.5$ MeV, the ground state angular distribution shows a single maximum at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}} = 30^\circ$ ($\sigma(\theta) = 1.3$ mb/sr). The total ground-state transfer cross section is 1.34 ± 0.30 mb, corresponding to an interaction radius $R_{\text{min}} \approx 2.2(A_1^{\frac{1}{3}} + A_2^{\frac{1}{3}})$ fm (1962NE01).

$$37. \ ^{11}\text{B}(\text{n}, \text{t})^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = -9.561$$

Not reported.

$$38. \ \text{(a)} \ ^{11}\text{B}(\text{p}, \ ^3\text{He})^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = -10.325$$

$$\text{(b)} \ ^{11}\text{B}(\text{p}, \text{pd})^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = -15.819$$

Not reported. For reaction (b), see (1964BA1C).

$$39. \ ^{11}\text{B}(\text{d}, \alpha)^9\text{Be} \quad Q_m = 8.028$$

Alpha groups are reported corresponding to states at (1.75), 2.4 and 3.0 MeV (1956BO18, 1958KA31, 1958MI1C). The width of the 1.75 MeV structure is 224 ± 25 keV (1958KA31, 1966PU02). The energy of the 2.4 MeV state is 2422 ± 5 keV (1951VA08), 2431 ± 6 keV (1954EL10), 2424 ± 5 keV (1956BO18). The next state is at 3.02 ± 0.03 MeV (1955LE36), 3.05 ± 0.03 MeV (1956BO18). Its width is ≈ 0.3 MeV (1956BO18), $\Gamma_{\text{c.m.}} = 257 \pm 25$ keV (1958KA31, 1966PU02). See also (1955HO48, 1961TE02, 1963RO22, 1964GR19, 1964YA1A).

The ratio of the γ -decay width to the total width, $\Gamma_{\text{rad}}/\Gamma$, of the 2.4 MeV state is $(1.15 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ (1964PU04). Since Γ_{rad} is known from (e, e') (see (1962ED02) and Table 9.4), $\Gamma = 1.0 \pm 0.2$ keV. For the 1.7 MeV state $\Gamma_{\text{rad}}/\Gamma < 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ (1964PU04, 1966PU02).

$$40. \ ^{12}\text{C}(n, \alpha)^9\text{Be} \qquad Q_{\text{m}} = -5.704$$

Analysis of 128 cloud chamber stars involving $^9\text{Be}^*(2.4)$ leads to the conclusion that the probability of $^9\text{Be}^*(2.4) \rightarrow n + ^8\text{Be}(0)$ is 13 ± 5 % (1965MO09). See also (1955GR21, 1962BA15, 1962BA25, 1963AL10, 1963DA12, 1963SE08, 1964BR25, 1964CH28).

$$41. \ ^{13}\text{C}(\gamma, \alpha)^9\text{Be} \qquad Q_{\text{m}} = -10.651$$

See (1953MI31).

⁹B

(Figs. 17 and 18)

GENERAL: See (1959BA1D, 1960PH1A, 1960SP08, 1960TA1C, 1962BA1C, 1962IN02, 1964GR1J, 1964RE1C, 1964ST1B).

1. (a) ${}^6\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{p}){}^8\text{Be}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = 16.787$ $E_{\text{b}} = 16.601$
(b) ${}^6\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{n}){}^8\text{B}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = -1.975$

The excitation functions for protons leading to the ground and 2.9 MeV states of ${}^8\text{Be}$ (p_0 and p_1) have been measured for $E({}^3\text{He}) = 0.9$ to 17 MeV. Resonances are reported at $E({}^3\text{He}) = 1.6$ MeV ($\Gamma = 0.25$ MeV) and $E({}^3\text{He}) = 3.0$ MeV ($\Gamma = 1.5$ MeV) (1956SC01). Above 5 MeV, the p_0 -yield at 0° increases monotonically with energy while that of the p_1 group decreases with energy. In the backward direction, there is a broad maximum in the yield at ≈ 6.5 MeV ($\Gamma \approx 4$ MeV) (1963MA02). The p_0 angular distribution is strongly backward below 7 MeV, and strongly forward at $E({}^3\text{He}) = 13$ and 17 MeV. At 9 MeV, the p_0 distribution is peaked in both the forward and the backward direction (1963MA02).

The yield of neutrons has been determined for $E({}^3\text{He}) = 3.0$ to 5.5 MeV. It increases linearly with energy above 3.4 MeV: at 5.5 MeV, the cross section is 21.5 ± 2.0 mb (1961FA04).

2. ${}^6\text{Li}({}^6\text{Li}, \text{t}){}^9\text{B}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = 0.808$

See (1964KI02).

3. ${}^6\text{Li}(\alpha, \text{n}){}^9\text{B}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = -3.977$

The ground-state threshold energy is 6.623 ± 0.020 MeV: $Q = -3.974 \pm 0.012$ MeV. Angular distributions at $E_\alpha = 8.0, 10.0, 12.0$ and 14.0 MeV all display strong forward peaking (1963ME08). At $E_\alpha = 14.4$ MeV, neutron groups are observed corresponding to the ground and 2.3 MeV states: the upper limit of the cross section to a state at ≈ 1.7 MeV is $100 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ or $< 10\%$ of the ground-state group (1964BA29). See also ${}^{10}\text{B}$.

4. ${}^7\text{Li}({}^3\text{He}, \text{n}){}^9\text{B}$ $Q_{\text{m}} = 9.349$

Table 9.10: Energy levels of ${}^9\text{B}$

E_x (MeV \pm keV)	$J^\pi; T$	Γ (keV)	Decay	Reactions
g.s. (1.5)	$> \frac{1}{2}^-; \frac{1}{2}$	0.54 ± 0.21	(p, α)	2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14 6, 10, 12, 14
2.330 ± 2	$> \frac{1}{2}^-; \frac{1}{2}$	82 ± 8		3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14
2.830 ± 30	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+; \frac{1}{2}$	700 ± 160		4, 6, 12, 14
4.05 ± 30				6
(4.9)				6
(7.1)				4, 10
(9.7)				6, 10
11.62 ± 100		700 ± 100		6, 10, 12
12.06 ± 60	$\frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-$	800 ± 150	p	4, 6, 8
14.01 ± 70		390 ± 110		4
14.670 ± 16	$;$ $\frac{3}{2}$	< 45	γ	4, 6, 10
16.024 ± 25		180 ± 16		4, 6
17.185 ± 20		120 ± 40	p, d	4, 5
17.632 ± 8		71 ± 8	p, d, ${}^3\text{He}$	1, 4, 5
(18.6)		1000	p, ${}^3\text{He}$	1
(20.9)		≈ 4000	p, ${}^3\text{He}$	1

At $E({}^3\text{He}) = 1.2$ to 2.7 MeV, the ground state neutrons and a group corresponding to unresolved ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.34, 2.81)$ are observed by time-of-flight, in addition to a continuum distribution. A broad maximum may indicate a level at $E_x \approx 7$ MeV (1961DU1B, 1963DU12). The ground state angular distribution has been analyzed in terms of DWBA: $L = 2$, $J^\pi \leq \frac{7}{2}^-$ is indicated (1963DU12). Analysis of the continuum in terms of plane wave stripping to ${}^8\text{Be} + n + p$ is reported by (1964DU1E).

At $E({}^3\text{He}) = 5$ to 12.5 MeV, time-of-flight spectra yield evidence for levels at 12.06 ± 0.06 , 14.01 ± 0.07 , 14.670 ± 0.016 , 16.024 ± 0.025 , 17.19 and 17.63 MeV. The widths of the four lower states are 800 ± 200 , 390 ± 110 , < 45 and 180 ± 16 keV, respectively (1964DI1A, 1965DI03). The 14.67 MeV state is the first $T = \frac{3}{2}$ state in ${}^9\text{B}$ (1965DI03). It is observed to decay by γ -emission of about equal intensities to the ground and 2.3 MeV states of ${}^9\text{B}$ (1965GR08). See also (1959LE24, 1962SE1A) and ${}^{10}\text{B}$.

5. ${}^7\text{Be}(d, p){}^8\text{Be}$

$Q_m = 16.672$

$E_b = 16.486$

For $E_d = 0.75$ to 1.70 MeV, resonances in the yields of protons are observed at 0.900 ± 0.025 MeV (p_0, p_1) and 1.475 ± 0.010 MeV (p_1 only), with $\Gamma_{c.m.} = 120 \pm 40$ and 71 ± 8 keV, respectively (${}^9\text{B}^* = 17.19$ and 17.63 MeV) (1960KA17).

6. ${}^9\text{Be}(p, n){}^9\text{B}$ $Q_m = -1.851$

Neutron spectra show a level at 2.37 ± 0.04 MeV (1953AJ09: $E_p = 6.59$ MeV). Evidence is also reported for states at (1.4), 3.07, 4.14 and 4.93 MeV (1960SA03: $E_p = 14.1$ MeV), 4.7, 10.3, 11.3, 12.8, 14.7 and 16.6 MeV ((1958AD1A) and C. Waddell; private communication: ± 0.2 MeV; $E_p = 31.5$ MeV). See also (1961AD02, 1962BO33). At $E_p = 6.3$ and 7.4 MeV, the upper limit to the cross section for formation of a state at $E_x \approx 1.7$ MeV is $100 \mu\text{b/sr}$ (1964BA29). Angular distributions have been obtained for $E_p = 2.0$ to 4.3 MeV with special reference to the elucidation of the n-p interaction (1961AL07: see also (1965WA04)). Polarizations and angular distributions of ground-state neutrons have been measured from $E_p = 2.4$ to 8.5 MeV by (1963KE03, 1963KE09: see also ${}^{10}\text{B}$). Angular distributions have also been measured at $E_p = 2.3$ to 2.6 MeV (1964AN1E), 3.5 to 10.9 MeV (1965WA04: measurement of the polarization for $E_p = 7$ to 11 MeV), 8 to 14 MeV (1960SA03), 18.5 MeV (1964AN1B). See also (1964SA1D).

For $E_p = 2$ to 5.8 MeV, two neutron thresholds are observed at $E_p = 2.060 \pm 0.003$ MeV (${}^9\text{B}(0)$) and 4.645 ± 0.005 MeV (${}^9\text{B}^* = 2.326 \pm 0.006$ MeV + $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$) (1955MA84). A broad threshold is observed at $E_p = 3.6$ MeV (1955MA84, 1961TA12) but its relevance to a ${}^9\text{B}$ level at ≈ 1.4 MeV is not clear (1959MA20, 1960SP08). An anomaly in the neutron yield curve at $E_p = 6.55 \pm 0.03$ MeV is ascribed to a state in ${}^9\text{B}$ at $E_x = 4.04 \pm 0.03$ MeV (1964BA16).

The width of the ground state is < 2 keV (1951ST76), 540 ± 210 keV; $\theta^2 < 0.25$ (1964TE01).

7. ${}^9\text{Be}({}^3\text{He}, t){}^9\text{B}$ $Q_m = -1.087$

The ground-state tritons are peaked in the forward direction at $E({}^3\text{He}) = 4.0$ and 4.4 MeV (1963BO1P), 5.7 MeV (1959HI69) and 25 MeV (1960WE04). At the higher energy, only the ground state and the excited state at 2.33 MeV are observed above the continuum up to $E_x = 15$ MeV; the tritons to the 2.3 MeV state show only weak diffraction maxima (1960WE04). See also (1960TA04, 1962WE1C, 1965CR1C).

8. ${}^9\text{C}(\beta^+){}^9\text{B} \rightarrow {}^8\text{Be} + p$ $Q_m = 16.76$

Delayed protons are observed corresponding to an excited state of ${}^9\text{B}$ at 12.05 ± 0.2 MeV with $\Gamma = 800 \pm 100$ keV (1965HA09): see ${}^9\text{C}$.

9. $^{10}\text{B}(\gamma, n)^9\text{B}$ $Q_m = -8.438$

See (1951SH63).

10. $^{10}\text{B}(\text{p}, \text{d})^9\text{B}$ $Q_m = -6.213$

At $E_p = 18.9$ MeV, deuteron groups are observed leading to the ground and 2.3 MeV states (1956RE04). Assuming $J = \frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{5}{2}^-$, respectively, for these two states the ratio of reduced widths is 1/0.8 (1960MA32). At $E_p = 11$ MeV, a broad structure is observed which may indicate a level at $E_x = 1.6$ to 2.0 MeV (1964FA06). At $E_p = 155$ MeV, deuteron groups are observed corresponding to the ground state and to excited states at 2.4, 7.1, 9.7, 11.4 and 14.7 MeV (1963BA2F). See also (1964SH07).

11. $^{10}\text{B}(\text{d}, \text{t})^9\text{B}$ $Q_m = -2.180$

At $E_d = 13.5$ MeV, the angular distribution of the ground state tritons can be fitted by PWBA with $l_n = 1$, $R = 5.4$ fm (1964FU15). See also (1956BO18).

12. $^{10}\text{B}({}^3\text{He}, \alpha)^9\text{B}$ $Q_m = 12.140$

Alpha particle spectra observed with $E({}^3\text{He})$ up to 10.5 MeV show the ground-state group and groups corresponding to levels at 2.3, 2.8 and 11.6 MeV (Table 9.11): no other well-defined levels appear for $E_x < 10$ MeV (1959PO61, 1960SP08, 1960TA12, 1963EA03, 1963FI14). A broad distribution of alpha particles is observed in the region corresponding to $E_x = 1.4$ MeV, variously interpreted as arising merely from a spatial localization of (${}^8\text{Be} + \text{p}$) with comparatively low disintegration energy (1960SP08), or as an actual $\frac{1}{2}^+$ level (1962BA1C). In the latter case, the level has $E_x \approx 1.2$ MeV, $\Gamma = 1$ MeV, $\gamma_p^2 = 1$ MeV, $R = 4.35$ fm; a ground-state reduced width $\gamma^2 = \hbar^2/ma^2 = 2.46$ MeV is indicated (1962BA1C).

The ratio of widths for ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.3)/{}^9\text{Be}^*(2.4)$ suggests that both decay by $l = 0$ or 1 to ${}^8\text{Be}^*(2^+)$. If $J^\pi = \frac{5}{2}^-$ is assumed, the fractional parentage coefficient for (${}^8\text{Be}(0) + l = 3$) is $< 10^{-3}$. Comparison of ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.8)/{}^9\text{Be}^*(3.0)$ suggests decay either to ${}^8\text{Be}(2^+) + l = 0$ or ${}^8\text{Be}(0) + l = 2$ (1960SP08). (1965WA1M) find that the decay of ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.3)$ is mainly via ${}^5\text{Li} + \alpha$, while ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.8)$ decays mainly to ${}^8\text{Be}(0) + \text{p}$. The apparent inhibition of ${}^9\text{B}^*(2.3) \rightarrow {}^8\text{Be}(0)$ indicates $J \geq \frac{3}{2}$ (1965WA1M). See also (1964ET02, 1965ET1A).

13. $^{11}\text{B}(\text{p}, \text{t})^9\text{B}$ $Q_m = -11.412$

Table 9.11: Levels of ${}^9\text{B}$ from ${}^{10}\text{B}({}^3\text{He}, \alpha){}^9\text{B}$

E_x ^a (MeV)	Γ (keV)	Reference
2.330 ± 0.002	83 ± 9	(1960SP08)
2.333 ± 0.010		(1960TA12)
2.370 ± 0.020	80 ± 15	(1959PO61)
2.830 ± 0.030	≈ 300	(1959PO61)
2.790	700 ± 200	(1960SP08)
2.830 ± 0.10	700 ± 250	^b
11.62 ± 0.10	700 ± 100	(1963FI14)

^a Based on Q_m .

^b G.D. Symons, private communication.

See (1965RE1A).

14. ${}^{12}\text{C}(\text{p}, \alpha){}^9\text{B}$

$$Q_m = -7.554$$

At $E_p = 15.6$ and 18.6 MeV, groups are observed leading to the ground and 2.3 MeV states, and angular distributions have been obtained for the ground state alphas (1955RE16, 1962MA40). (1955RE16) does not observe any other sharp groups up to $E_x \approx 7.9$ MeV (see also (1958KN52)). The evidence concerning a broad state at $E_x \approx 1.5$ MeV is not clear: see (1962SY01, 1964BA29, 1964SY02, 1965IS05). At $E_p = 16.0$ and 18.3 MeV, α -particles corresponding to an excited state at $E_x = 2.9 \pm 0.2$ MeV have been observed (1964BA29). Stars presumed to arise from the reaction ${}^{12}\text{C} + \text{p} \rightarrow \alpha + {}^9\text{B} \rightarrow 3\alpha + \text{p}$ have been analyzed in terms of transitions through the ${}^9\text{B}$ ground and 2.3 MeV states (1955NE18, 1962VA1A, 1963VA04: $E_p = 29$ MeV). See also (1961SE1C).

⁹C
(Fig. 18)

GENERAL: See (1955AJ61, 1956SW77, 1964GR1J, 1964WI1B, 1965JA1C, 1965WO01).

Mass of ⁹C : The atomic mass excess of ⁹C is 28.99±0.07 MeV: see ¹²C(³He, ⁶He)⁹C (1965CE1A).

1. ⁹C(β^+)⁹B \rightarrow ⁸Be + p $Q_m = 16.76$

Two groups of delayed protons are observed, indicating a component of the β^+ decay to a level of ⁹B at 12.05 ± 0.2 MeV with $\Gamma = 800 \pm 100$ keV which then decays to p + ⁸Be(g.s.) and ⁸Be*(2.9). The half-life is 127 ± 3 msec. The allowed character of the decay suggests $J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^-$, $\frac{3}{2}^-$ or $\frac{5}{2}^-$ for the ⁹B state, assuming the ⁹C(g.s.) has $J^\pi = \frac{3}{2}^-$. The near equality of intensity of the two proton groups to ⁸Be(g.s.) and ⁸Be*(2.9) favors $J^\pi = \frac{1}{2}^-$ for ⁹B*(12.1) (1965HA09). See also (1961DA14).

2. ⁷Be(³He, n)⁹C $Q_m = -6.36$

Not reported.

3. ¹²C(³He, ⁶He)⁹C $Q_m = -31.66$

At $E(^3\text{He}) = 65$ MeV, the transition to the ground state of ⁹C has been observed with a differential cross section of $\approx 1.5 \mu\text{b/sr}$ for $\theta = 16^\circ - 26^\circ$ (1964CE04). The mass excess of ⁹C is 28.99 ± 0.07 MeV (1965CE1A).

4. ¹⁰B(p, 2n)⁹C $Q_m = -25.79$

The threshold energy is ≈ 29 MeV (1965RO1G). See also (1965HA09).

5. ¹¹B(p, 3n)⁹C $Q_m = -37.25$

See (1965HA09).

6. ¹²C(p, d2n)⁹C $Q_m = -50.98$

The threshold energy is 52 ± 3 MeV (1965HA09).

References

(Closed July 01, 1965)

References are arranged and designated by the year of publication followed by the first two letters of the first-mentioned author's name and then by two additional characters. Most of the references appear in the National Nuclear Data Center files (Nuclear Science References Database) and have NNDC key numbers. Otherwise, TUNL key numbers were assigned with the last two characters of the form 1A, 1B, etc. In response to many requests for more informative citations, we have, when possible, included up to ten authors per paper and added the authors' initials.

- 1947BE1A Bennett, Bonner, Richards and Watts, Phys. Rev. 71 (1947) 11
- 1947GU1A Guggenheimer, Heitler and Powell, Proc. Roy. Soc. A190 (1947) 196
- 1948RU1A Russell, Sachs, Wattenberg and Fields, Phys. Rev. 73 (1948) 545
- 1949HA1A Hamermesh, Hamermesh and Wattenberg, Phys. Rev. 76 (1949) 611
- 1949WH1A Whaling, Evans and Bonner, Phys. Rev. 75 (1949) 688
- 1950SN67 A.H. Snell, E.C. Barker and R.L. Sternberg, Phys. Rev. 80 (1950) 637
- 1951BR72 C.P. Browne, R.M. Williamson, D.S. Craig and D.J. Donahue, Phys. Rev. 83 (1951) 179
- 1951GA30 W.L. Gardner, N. Knable and B.J. Moyer, Phys. Rev. 83 (1951) 1054
- 1951HA1A Harvey, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 298; M.I.T. Prog. Rept. (LNSE), Jan. (1951)
- 1951SH63 R. Sher, J. Halpern and A.K. Mann, Phys. Rev. 84 (1951) 387
- 1951ST76 P.H. Stelson and W.M. Preston, Phys. Rev. 83 (1951) 469
- 1951VA08 D.M. Van Patter, A. Sperduto, K. Huang, E.N. Strait and W.W. Buechner, Phys. Rev. 81 (1951) 233
- 1952BA64 L.M. Baggett and S.J. Bame, Jr., Phys. Rev. 85 (1952) 434
- 1952BR52 R. Britten, Phys. Rev. 88 (1952) 283
- 1952EL01 F.A. El-Bedewi, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A65 (1952) 64
- 1952HO25 R.B. Holt, R.N. Thorn and R.W. Waniek, Phys. Rev. 87 (1952) 378
- 1952SH44 R.K. Sheline, Phys. Rev. 87 (1952) 557
- 1953AJ09 F. Ajzenberg and W.W. Buechner, Phys. Rev. 91 (1953) 674
- 1953CO1C Cohen, Newman, Handley and Timnick, Phys. Rev. 90 (1953) 323
- 1953HA1A Haslam, Katz, Crosby, Summers-Gill and Cameron, Can. J. Phys. 31 (1953) 210
- 1953HA1B Hamermesh and Kimball, Phys. Rev. 90 (1953) 1063
- 1953HO79 R. Hofstadter, H.R. Fechter and J.A. McIntyre, Phys. Rev. 92 (1953) 978
- 1953JO1B Jones and Terwilliger, Phys. Rev. 91 (1953) 699

1953MI31 C.H. Millar and A.G.W. Cameron, *Can. J. Phys.* 31 (1953) 723
1953NA1A Nathans and Halpern, *Phys. Rev.* 92 (1953) 940
1953RE19 D. Reagan, *Phys. Rev.* 92 (1953) 651
1953SA1A Sawyer and Phillips, Los Alamos Rept.1578 (1953)
1953WRZZ B.T. Wright, Rept. UCRL-2422 (1953)
1954BA46 S. Bashkin, *Phys. Rev.* 95 (1954) 1012
1954CA1A Carver, Knodaiah and McDaniel, *Phil. Mag.* 45 (1954) 948
1954EL10 R.B. Elliott and D.J. Livesey, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A*224 (1954) 129
1954FI35 R.G. Finke, Thesis, Univ. California (1954); UCRL-2789 (1954)
1954MC45 J.A. McIntyre, B. Hahn and R. Hofstadter, *Phys. Rev.* 94 (1954) 1084
1954MO92 C.D. Moak, W.M. Good and W.E. Kunz, *Phys. Rev.* 96 (1954) 1363
1954RI15 F.L. Ribe and J.D. Seagrave, *Phys. Rev.* 94 (1954) 934
1955AJ61 F. Ajzenberg and T. Lauritsen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 27 (1955) 77
1955AL57 E. Almqvist, K.W. Allen and C.B. Bigham, *Phys. Rev.* 99 (1955) 631A
1955FR1F French, Halbert and Pandya, *Phys. Rev.* 99 (1955) 1387
1955GO48 C.R. Gossett, G.C. Phillips, J.P. Schiffer and P.M. Windham, *Phys. Rev.* 100 (1955) 203
1955GR12 G.W. Greenlees, *Proc. Phys. Soc. (London)* A68 (1955) 97
1955GR21 E.R. Graves and R.W. Davis, *Phys. Rev.* 97 (1955) 1205
1955HO48 R.E. Holland, D.R. Inglis, R.E. Malm and F.P. Mooring, *Phys. Rev.* 99 (1955) 92
1955LE36 L.L. Lee Jr. and D.R. Inglis, *Phys. Rev.* 99 (1955) 96
1955MA20 R.L. Macklin and H.E. Banta, *Phys. Rev.* 97 (1955) 753
1955MA84 J.B. Marion, T.W. Bonner and C.F. Cook, *Phys. Rev.* 100 (1955) 91
1955NE18 J.L. Need, *Phys. Rev.* 99 (1955) 1356
1955RA14 D.C. Ralph and F.E. Dunnam, *Phys. Rev.* 98 (1955) 249A
1955RA41 V.K. Rasmussen, D.W. Miller, M.B. Sampson and U.C. Gupta, *Phys. Rev.* 100 (1955) 851
1955RE16 J.B. Reynolds, *Phys. Rev.* 98 (1955) 1289
1956BE14 J. Benveniste, R.G. Finke and E.A. Martinelli, *Phys. Rev.* 101 (1956) 655
1956BE1A Bezrukov, Panov and Timoshuk, *Sov. J. Nucl. Energy* 4 (1956) 609
1956BO18 C.K. Bockelman, A. Leveque and W.W. Buechner, *Phys. Rev.* 104 (1956) 456
1956CH80 O. Chamberlain, E. Segre, R.D. Tripp, C. Wiegand and T. Ypsilantis, *Phys. Rev.* 102 (1956) 1659

1956CO56 D.R. Connors and W.C. Miller, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1 (1956) 340, P12
 1956CO59 L. Cohen, A.K. Mann, B.J. Patton, K. Reibel, W.E. Stephens and E.J. Winhold, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 108
 1956DA03 I.E. Dayton and G. Schrank, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 1358
 1956FA02 G.W. Farwell and D.D. Kerlee, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1 (1956) 20, DA5
 1956FR18 G.M. Frye Jr. and J.H. Gammel, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 328
 1956GL25 S. Glashow and W. Selove, Phys. Rev. 102 (1956) 200
 1956GO1G Goldanskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30 (1956) 969; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 3 (1956) 791
 1956GR37 T.S. Green and R. Middleton, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A69 (1956) 28
 1956HA90 J.W. Haffner, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 1398
 1956KI54 B.B. Kinsey and T. Stone, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 975
 1956KL19 G.K. Klinger, V.I. Riabinkin, I.V. Chuvilo and V.S. Shevchenko, Physica 22 (1956) 1142A
 1956KL55 A.P. Kliucharev, L.I. Bolotin and V.A. Lutsik, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30 (1956) 573; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 3 (1956) 463
 1956RA32 S.W. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 186
 1956RE04 J.B. Reynolds and K.G. Standing, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 158
 1956RI37 A.C. Riviere, Nucl. Phys. 2 (1956) 81
 1956SC01 J.P. Schiffer, T.W. Bonner, R.H. Davis and F.W. Prosser Jr., Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1064
 1956SE1A Selove, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 231
 1956ST30 K. Strauch and F. Titus, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 191
 1956SW77 M.S. Swami, J. Schneps and W.F. Fry, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 1134
 1956WA29 H.J. Watters, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 1763
 1957BO83 D. Bodansky, S.F. Eccles and I. Halpern, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 1019
 1957ED01 R.D. Edge, Nucl. Phys. 2 (1956/57) 485
 1957FA10 F.J.M. Farley and R.E. White, Nucl. Phys. 3 (1957) 561; Erratum Nucl. Phys. 3 (1957) 692
 1957HU14 P. Huber and R. Wagner, Helv. Phys. Acta 30 (1957) 257
 1957JA37 N. Jarmie, J.D. Seagrave et al., LA-2014 (1957)
 1957LO1A Lokan, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A70 (1957) 836
 1957ME21 M.A. Melkanoff, J.S. Nodvik, D.S. Saxon and R.D. Woods, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 793

1957NO17 E. Norbeck Jr. and C.S. Littlejohn, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 754
 1957RI39 A.C. Riviere and P.B. Treacy, Aust. J. Phys. 10 (1957) 209
 1957SL01 J.C. Slattery, R.A. Chapman and T.W. Bonner, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 809
 1958AD1A Adelson, UCRL 8568 (1958)
 1958AN32 J.D. Anderson, C.C. Gardner, J.W. McClure, M.P. Nakada and C. Wong, Phys. Rev. 111 (1958) 572
 1958BE1E Berlin and Owen, Nucl. Phys. 5 (1958) 669
 1958BL57 J.S. Blair and E.M. Henley, Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 2029
 1958KA31 R.W. Kavanagh and C.A. Barnes, Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 503
 1958KN52 H.B. Knowles, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 3 (1958) 330, N2
 1958MA1B Th.A.J. Maris, P. Hillman and H. Tyren, Nucl. Phys. 7 (1958) 1
 1958MI1C Miller, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 1669
 1958MO99 C.D. Moak, A. Galonsky, R.L. Traugber and C.M. Jones, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1369
 1958NA09 M.P. Nakada, J.D. Anderson, C.C. Gardner and C. Wong, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1439
 1958RO49 E.A. Romanovskii and G.F. Timushev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34 (1958) 1350; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 7 (1958) 932
 1958SU14 R.G. Summers-Gill, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 1591
 1958TA04 G.W. Tautfest, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 708
 1958TY46 H. Tyren and T.A.J. Maris, Nucl. Phys. 6 (1958) 82
 1958TY49 H. Tyren, P. Hillman and T.A.J. Marris, Nucl. Phys. 7 (1958) 10
 1958WA05 R. Wagner and P. Huber, Helv. Phys. Acta 31 (1958) 89
 1959AJ76 F. Ajzenberg and T. Lauritsen, Nucl. Phys. 11 (1959) 1
 1959AJ77 F. Ajzenberg-Selove, N. Jarmie and E. Haddad, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 4 (1959) 258, NA9
 1959AL83 D.E. Alburger, A. Elwyn, A. Gallmann, J.V. Kane, S. Ofer and R.E. Pixley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2 (1959) 110
 1959BA1D Baz, Adv. Phys. 8 (1959) 349
 1959BL31 J.S. Blair, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 928
 1959BR1E Brink and Kerman, Nucl. Phys. 12 (1959) 314
 1959CH1E Chuan, J. Phys. Rad. 20 (1959) 621
 1959CH25 V.P. Chizhov and L.A. Kulchitskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 36 (1959) 345; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 9 (1959) 239

- 1959GI48 J.H. Gibbons, R.L. Macklin, J.B. Marion and H.W. Schmitt, Phys. Rev. 114 (1959) 1319
- 1959HA28 M.L. Halbert and A. Zucker, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 1635
- 1959HI1H Hillman, Johansson and Tibell, Congres Int. de Phys. Nucl., Dunod, Paris (1959) 470
- 1959HI69 S. Hinds and R. Middleton, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A74 (1959) 196
- 1959JO43 A. Johansson, G. Tibell and P. Hillman, Nucl. Phys. 11 (1959) 540
- 1959KU84 L.A. Kulchitsky and V. Presperin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 37 (1959) 1524; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 10 (1960) 1082
- 1959LE24 A. Lemonick, R.G. Cornwell and E. Almqvist, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 4 (1959) 219, AB8
- 1959MA20 J.B. Marion and J.S. Levin, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 144
- 1959MA34 J.B. Marion, J.S. Levin and L. Cranberg, Phys. Rev. 114 (1959) 1584
- 1959ME24 U. Meyer-Berkhout, K.W. Ford and A.E.S. Green, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 8 (1959) 119
- 1959NO40 E. Norbeck, J.M. Blair, L. Pinsonneault and R.J. Gerbracht, Phys. Rev. 116 (1959) 1560
- 1959PI45 W.T. Pinkston, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 963
- 1959PO61 B. Povh, Phys. Rev. 114 (1959) 1114
- 1959SA04 M. Sakisaka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 14 (1959) 554
- 1959TH15 H.H. Thies, B.M. Spicer and J.E. Baglin, Aust. J. Phys. 12 (1959) 21
- 1959TH16 H.H. Thies and B.M. Spicer, Aust. J. Phys. 12 (1959) 293
- 1959WI41 K. Winter, B. Torki and E. Remy, Nuovo Cim. 11 (1959) 1
- 1959ZA01 N.I. Zaika and O.F. Nemets, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 23 (1950) 1460
- 1960AL1H Allison, Phys. Rev. 119 (1960) 1975
- 1960BA26 R. Barloutaud, H. Farraggi, L. Rosen and S.M. Shafroth, J. Phys. Rad. 21 (1960) 369
- 1960BA47 W.C. Barber, F. Berthold, G. Fricke and F.E. Gudden, Phys. Rev. 120 (1960) 2081
- 1960CE01 J. Cerny, B.G. Harvey and R.H. Pehl, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 493, C3
- 1960EL09 M. El-Nadi and M. Wafik, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 76 (1960) 185
- 1960FR1B Francis, Goldman and Guth, Phys. Rev. 120 (1960) 2175
- 1960GE1B Gerbracht and Youtz, Phys. Rev. 120 (1960) 1738
- 1960HE04 E.M. Henley and P.D. Kunz, Phys. Rev. 118 (1960) 248
- 1960IG01 G. Igo, J. Gonzalez-Vidal and S. Markowitz, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 229, C7
- 1960IN1A Inopin and Tishchenko, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 38 (1960) 1150; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 11 (1960) 840

- 1960KA05 R.W. Kavanagh, Nucl. Phys. 15 (1960) 411
- 1960KA17 R.W. Kavanagh, Nucl. Phys. 18 (1960) 492
- 1960KL03 P.R. Klein, N. Cindro, L.W. Swenson and N.S. Wall, Nucl. Phys. 16 (1960) 374
- 1960KU1B Kunz, Ann. Phys. 11 (1960) 275
- 1960LU1B Lubitz, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 18
- 1960MA15 K.V. Makariunas and S.V. Starodubtsev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 38 (1960) 372; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 11 (1960) 271
- 1960MA32 R.D. Macfarlane and J.B. French, Rev. Mod. Phys. 32 (1960) 567
- 1960MC04 J.H. McCrary, J.T. Prudhomme and I.L. Morgan, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 229, C5
- 1960NE09 O.F. Nemets, L.S. Saltykov, M.K. Sokolov and Y.V. Tsekhmistrenko, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 24 (1960) 858; Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR (Phys.) 24 (1960) 861
- 1960NE11 O.F. Nemets, L.S. Saltykov and M.V. Sokolov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 38 (1960) 1663; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 11 (1960) 1199
- 1960NO1A Norbeck, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 476
- 1960PH1A Phillips and Tombrello, Nucl. Phys. 19 (1960) 555
- 1960SA03 Y. Saji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 15 (1960) 367
- 1960SA28 Y. Sakamoto and T. Takemiya, Prog. Theor. Phys. 23 (1960) 172
- 1960SE08 J.P.F. Sellschop, Phys. Rev. 119 (1960) 251
- 1960SE12 R. Seltz and D. Magnac-Valette, Compt. Rend. 251 (1960) 2006
- 1960SE1D Seward, Jupiter, Shaffer and Fultz, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 494
- 1960SH1D Shklyarevskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 39 (1960) 1031; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 12 (1961) 717
- 1960SP08 R.R. Spencer, G.C. Phillips and T.E. Young, Nucl. Phys. 21 (1960) 310
- 1960TA04 M. Tatcher, E. Bogart, S. Devons and L.J. Lidofsky, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 230, C8
- 1960TA12 I.J. Taylor, F.de S. Barros, P.D. Forsyth, A.A. Jaffe and S. Ramavataram, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A75 (1960) 772
- 1960TA1C Talmi and Unna, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 10 (1960) 353
- 1960VA1H Vashakidze, Kopaleishvili, Mamasakhlisov and Chilashvili, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 38 (1960) 937; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 11 (1961) 675
- 1960WA06 R.L. Walter, M.F. Shea and W.C. Miller, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 229, C3
- 1960WA1J Waghmare, Prog. Theor. Phys. 24 (1960) 681
- 1960WE04 H.E. Wegner and W.S. Hall, Phys. Rev. 119 (1960) 1654
- 1961AD02 H.E. Adelson and C.N. Waddell, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 6 (1961) 375, X2

- 1961AL07 R.D. Albert, S.D. Bloom and N.K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961) 862
- 1961BA06 J. Bardwick, R.S. Tickle and W.E. Barkinson, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 6 (1961) 259, KA6
- 1961BA1E Balashov, Neudachin and Smirnov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 25 (1961) 170; Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. 25 (1961) 165
- 1961BA1G Barker, Nucl. Phys. 28 (1961) 96
- 1961BL1D Blair, Phys. Rev. 123 (1961) 2151
- 1961CL10 A.B. Clegg, Phil. Mag. 6 (1961) 1207
- 1961CO1E Cohen, React. Sci. and Tech.; J. Nucl. Energ. 14 (1961) 180
- 1961DA14 V.F. Darovsky, M.M. Makarov and V.I. Ostroumov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 141 (1961) 593
- 1961DU1B Duggan, Thesis, Louisiana State Univ. (1961)
- 1961FA04 B.J. Farmer and C.M. Class, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 6 (1961) 341, F2
- 1961GU1B Guth, Francis and Goldman, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 6 (1961) 372
- 1961GU1C Guth, Francis and Goldman, Rook and Hodgson, Proc. Rutherford Jub. Int. Conf., Manchester, England; Ed. J.B. Birks (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1961) 569
- 1961HI01 S. Hinds, R. Middleton, A.E. Litherland and D.J. Pullen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 6 (1961) 113
- 1961HO1F Holmgren and Wolicki, Proc. Rutherford Jub. Int. Conf., Manchester, England; Ed. J.B. Birks (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1961) 541
- 1961HO21 H.D. Holmgren and L.M. Cameron, Proc. Rutherford Jub. Int. Conf., Manchester, England; Ed. J.B. Birks (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1961) 531
- 1961IS04 Y. Ishizaki, Y. Saji, T. Ishimatsu, T. Nakamura, Y. Nakano and S. Yasumi, Univ. Tokyo, INSJ- 44 (1961)
- 1961IS05 R. Ishiwari, Bull. Inst. Chem. Research, Kyoto Univ., 39 (Nov. 1961) 287; Nucl. Sci. Abstr. 16 (1962) 1046, No. 8175
- 1961JA13 M.J. Jakobson, Phys. Rev. 123 (1961) 229
- 1961JO18 A. Johansson, U. Svanberg and P.E. Hodgson, Ark. Fys. 19 (1961) 541
- 1961KO1J Kowalska, Acta Phys. Pol. 20 (1961) 1019
- 1961KU1C Kurath, Phys. Rev. 124 (1961) 552
- 1961KU1D Kuehner and Almqvist, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 6 (1961) 48
- 1961LA17 J.M. Lambert, L. Madansky and G.E. Owen, Phys. Rev. 124 (1961) 1959
- 1961MA36 V.I. Mamasakhlisov and R.I. Jibuti, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 41 (1961) 1493; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 14 (1962) 1066

- 1961MY01 S.A. Myachkova and V.P. Perelygin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 40 (1961) 1244; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 13 (1961) 876
- 1961PU1A Pugh and Riley, Proc. Rutherford Jub. Int. Conf., Manchester, England; Ed. J.B. Birks (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1961) 195
- 1961RE03 F.H. Read and J.M. Calvert, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 77 (1961) 65
- 1961SE1C Seaman and Quinton, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 6 (1961) 470
- 1961TA06 A.E. Taylor and E. Wood, Nucl. Phys. 25 (1961) 642
- 1961TA12 S. Takayanagi, N.H. Gale, J.B. Garg and J.M. Calvert, Nucl. Phys. 28 (1961) 494
- 1961TE02 A. Tejera, M. Mazari, A. Jaidar and G. Lopez, Rev. Mex. Fis. 10 (1961) 229
- 1961VA09 I.Sh. Vashakidze, T.I. Kopaleishvili and G.A. Chilashvili, Zh. Skep. Teor. Fiz. 40 (1961) 491; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 13 (1962) 343
- 1961VA43 A.K. Val-ter, P.I. Vatsset, L.Y. Kolesnikov, S.G. Tonapetyan, K.K. Chernyavskii and A.I. Shpetnyi, Atomn. Energ. (USSR) 10 (1961) 577; Sov. J. At. Energy 10 (1962) 574
- 1961WA1C Waghmare and Pandya, Prog. Theor. Phys. 25 (1961) 822
- 1961WO05 E.A. Wolicki and A.R. Knudson, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 6 (1961) 415, B2
- 1962BA1C Barker and Treacy, Nucl. Phys. 38 (1962) 33
- 1962BA1D Barber, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 12 (1962) 1
- 1962BA62 V.V. Balashov and V.N. Fetisov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 26 (1962) 1188; Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Ser. 27 (1962) 1199
- 1962BO33 P.H. Bowen, G.C. Cox, G.B. Huxtable, J.P. Scanlon, J.J. Thresher and A. Langsford, Nucl. Phys. 30 (1962) 475
- 1962BR09 J.D. Bronson Jr., E.H. Beckner and G.C. Phillips, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 7 (1962) 119, J4
- 1962BR14 L.B. Brown and H.B. Knowles, Phys. Rev. 125 (1962) 1339
- 1962CH14 L.F. Chase Jr., R.G. Johnson, F.J. Vaughn and E.K. Warburton, Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 859
- 1962CH26 V.P. Chizhov, et al., Nucl. Phys. 34 (1962) 562
- 1962CL06 F.M. Clikeman, A.J. Bureau and M.G. Stewart, Phys. Rev. 126 (1962) 1822
- 1962CU05 B. Cujec, Nucl. Phys. 37 (1962) 396
- 1962ED02 R.D. Edge and G.A. Peterson, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 2750
- 1962GA09 J.P. Garron, J.C. Jacmart, M. Riou, C. Ruhla, J. Teillac and K. Strauch, Nucl. Phys. 37 (1962) 126
- 1962GA23 J.P. Garron, Ann. Phys. (Paris) 7 (1962) 301

- 1962GR14 J.A. Green and W.C. Parkinson, Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 926
- 1962HE06 F.L. Hereford and S.V. Topp, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 7 (1962) 577, I6; Padua (1963) 645
- 1962HO1C Honda and Ui, Nucl. Phys. 34 (1962) 609
- 1962IN02 D.R. Inglis, Nucl. Phys. 30 (1962) 1
- 1962IN1A Inglis, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34 (1962) 165
- 1962JO17 W. John and J.M. Prosser, Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 231
- 1962KO11 A. Kowalska, Acta Phys. Pol. 21 (1962) 583; Phys. Abs. 65, 1987, Abs. 20589 (1962)
- 1962KO13 M.P. Konstantinova, E.V. Myakinin, A.M. Petrov and A.N. Ronsnov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43 (1962) 388; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 16 (1963) 278
- 1962KU1C Kunz, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 1343
- 1962LI13 F.F. Liu, F.J. Loeffler, T.R. Palfrey and Y.S. Kim, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 2784
- 1962MA1F Madsen and Henley, Nucl. Phys. 33 (1962) 1
- 1962MA40 D.R. Maxson, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 1321
- 1962MA59 K.V. Makariunas, E.K. Makariuniene and V.J. Dienys, Litov. Fiz. Sbornik (USSR) 2 (1962) 351; ; Nucl. Sci. Abstr. 18, 2032, Abs.15242 (1964); Phys. Abs. 3703 (1964)
- 1962MI15 U. Miklavzic, N. Bezic, D. Jamnik, G. Kernel, Z. Milavc and J. Snajder, Nucl. Phys. 31 (1962) 570
- 1962NE01 E. Newman, Phys. Rev. 125 (1962) 600
- 1962NG02 H. Nguyen Ngoc and J. Perez Y Jorba, Compt. Rend. 255 (1962) 3158
- 1962SC12 G. Schrank, E.K. Warburton and W.W. Daehnick, Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 2159
- 1962SE1A Serov and Guzhovskii, Atomn. Energ. (USSR) 12 (1962) 5
- 1962SL03 R.J. Slobodrian, Nucl. Phys. 32 (1962) 684
- 1962ST12 F.A. St. Romain, T.W. Bonner, R.L. Bramblett and J. Hanna, Phys. Rev. 126 (1962) 1794
- 1962SY01 G.D. Symons and P.B. Treacy, Phys. Lett. 2 (1962) 175
- 1962TA1H Tang, Khanna, Herndon and Wildermuth, Nucl. Phys. 35 (1962) 421
- 1962VA1A Vasilyev, Komarcv and Popova, Zh. Skep. Teor. Fiz. 43 (1962) 737; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 16 (1963) 521
- 1962VO1D Volkov, Kulikov and Chizhov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 42 (1962) 61; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 15 (1962) 42
- 1962WE1C Wegner, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 33 (1962) 271
- 1963AL10 R.A. Al-Kital and R.A. Peck Jr., Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 1500
- 1963AL18 D.E. Alburger, Phys. Rev. 132 (1963) 328

1963BA1K Balashov and Fetisov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 45 (1963) 532; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 18 (1964) 365

1963BA1R Bachelier et al., Padua (1963) 1141

1963BA2F Bachelier, Bernas, Brissaud, Detraz and Radvanyi, J. Phys. 24 (1963) 1055

1963BE1A Benioff, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 1355

1963BE42 T. Berggren and G. Jacob, Nucl. Phys. 47 (1963) 481

1963BL20 H.R. Blieden, G.M. Temmer and K.L. Warsh, Nucl. Phys. 49 (1963) 209

1963BO1P Bogart, Devons and Tatcher, Padua (1963) 960

1963BO1R Bogatin, Lozhkin and Yakovlev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 45 (1963) 2072; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 18 (1964) 1420

1963BO32 R. Bosch, J. Lang, R. Muller and W. Wolfli, Helv. Phys. Acta 36 (1963) 657

1963CA02 J.A. Careaga and M. Mazari, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 8 (1963) 124, U5, and Private Communication (1963)

1963CE1B Cerineo, Ilakovac, Kuo, Petravic, Slaus, Tomas and Valkovic, Padua (1963) 557A

1963CO05 E.G. Corman, J.E. Sherwood and W. John, Phys. Lett. 4 (1963) 146

1963CO1D Costa et al., Phys. Lett. 6 (1963) 226

1963DA12 E.A. Davis, T.W. Bonner, D.W. Worley Jr. and R. Bass, Nucl. Phys. 48 (1963) 169

1963DE1J Demos, Private Communication (1963)

1963DU12 J.L. Duggan, P.D. Miller and R.F. Gabbard, Nucl. Phys. 46 (1963) 336

1963EA03 L.G. Earwaker, J.G. Jenkin and E.W. Titterton, Nucl. Phys. 46 (1963) 540

1963FI14 T.R. Fisher and W. Whaling, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 8 (1963) 598, F8

1963FR1F Freind et al., Acta Phys. Pol. 23 (1963) 619

1963GA02 A. Garin, C. Lemeille, L. Marquez and N. Saunier, Phys. Lett. 3 (1963) 299

1963GO04 J. Goldemberg and Y. Torizuka, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 312

1963GU1A Gupta and Waghmare, Nucl. Phys. 48 (1963) 321

1963HI1B Hiura and Shimodaya, Prog. Theor. Phys. 30 (1963) 585; Erratum Prog. Theor. Phys. 31 (1964) 165

1963HO19 H.D. Holmgren, L.M. Cameron and R.L. Johnston, Nucl. Phys. 48 (1963) 1

1963HU02 M.N. Huberman, M. Kamegai and G.C. Morrison, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 791

1963JA1E Jarmie and Diven, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 17 (1963) 433

1963JE05 H. Jeremie, Nucl. Phys. 47 (1963) 225

1963KE03 C.A. Kelsey, G.P. Lietz, S.F. Trevino and S.E. Darden, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 759

1963KE09 C.A. Kelsey, Nucl. Phys. 45 (1963) 235

1963KI1C Kim, Liu, Loeffler and Palfrey, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 1362
 1963KU1L Kuehner and Almqvist, 3rd Conf. on Reactions between Complex Nuclei (1963) 11
 1963LE10 C.W. Lewis, Phys. Rev. 131 (1963) 2590
 1963MA02 J.D. Marshall, N.R. Fletcher and R.H. Davis, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 8 (1963) 10, BA1
 1963MA1E Matkhiz, Neudachin and Smirnov, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Fiz. 27 (1963) 1273
 1963ME08 M.K. Mehta, W.E. Hunt, H.S. Plendl and R.H. Davis, Nucl. Phys. 48 (1963) 90
 1963NE07 B.M.K. Nefkens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963) 243
 1963NG01 H. Nguyen Ngoc, N. Hors and J. Perez y Jorba, Nucl. Phys. 42 (1963) 62
 1963NG1B Nguyen Ngoc and Perez Y Jorba, J. Phys. 24 (1963) 965
 1963OP1A Oparin, Saukov and Shuvalov, Atomn. Energ. (USSR) 15 (1963) 411; J. Nucl. Energ. 18 (1964) 596
 1963PA04 P. Paul and D. Kohler, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 2698
 1963PH1A Phillips, Bronson, Pitts, Barnard, Weil and Belote, Padua (1963) 1070
 1963RA01 P. Radvanyi, D. Bachelier, M. Bernas, C. Detraz, J. Genin and J.N. Haag, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 8 (1963) 10, BA2
 1963RI1B Riou, Padua (1963) 18
 1963RO22 B.A. Robson and E. Weigold, Nucl. Phys. 46 (1963) 321
 1963RU05 C. Ruhla, M. Riou, M. Gusakow, J.C. Jacmart, M. Liu and L. Valentin, Phys. Lett. 6 (1963) 282
 1963SA09 J. Sawicki, Nuovo Cim. 28 (1963) 1098; Erratum Nuovo Cim. 29 (1963) 315
 1963SA1G Saji et al., Padua (1963) 851A
 1963SC1P Schmid, Tang and Wildermuth, Padua (1963) 62
 1963SE08 B. Sen, Nucl. Phys. 41 (1963) 435
 1963SE1F Sellschop and Mingay, Padua (1963) 425
 1963TE1B Temmer, Pauda (1963) 1013
 1963VA04 S.S. Vasilyev, V.V. Komarov and A.M. Popova, Nucl. Phys. 40 (1963) 443
 1963WI1G Wilkins and Igo, 3rd Conf. on Reactions between Complex Nuclei (1963) 241
 1964AL33 F.R. Allum, T.W. Quirk and B.M. Spicer, Nucl. Phys. 53 (1964) 545
 1964AM1D Amit and Latz, Nucl. Phys. 58 (1964) 297
 1964AN1B Anderson, Wong, McClure and Walker, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B118
 1964AN1E Antolkovic, Holmqvist and Wiedling, AE 158 (1964)
 1964BA16 J.K. Bair, C.M. Jones and H.B. Willard, Nucl. Phys. 53 (1964) 209

- 1964BA1C Balashov, Boyarkina and Rotter, Nucl. Phys. 59 (1964) 417
- 1964BA1Y Barker, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 84 (1964) 681
- 1964BA29 R.W. Bauer, J.D. Anderson and C. Wong, Nucl. Phys. 56 (1964) 117
- 1964BE1M Berkowitz, Nucl. Phys. 60 (1964) 555
- 1964BE30 C. Becchi, L. Meneghetti, M. Sanzone and S. Vitale, Nucl. Phys. 59 (1964) 375
- 1964BI10 R. Bilwes, B. Bourotte and D. Magnac-Valette, Compt. Rend. Congres Int. Phys. Nucl., Paris; Ed. P. Gugenberger, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, Vol. II (1964) 343; and Private Communication (May 1964)
- 1964BI19 F.W. Bingham, M.K. Brussel and J.D. Steben, Nucl. Phys. 55 (1964) 265
- 1964BI1E Bishop, Congres Int. de Phys. Nucl., Paris, Vol. 1(1964) 343
- 1964BO31 R. Bouchez, J.-C. Gondrand, P. Perrin, C. Perrin, A. Giorni, R. Quivy and M. Dubus, Compt. Rend. 259 (1964) 3501
- 1964BR25 T.A. Brinkley, B.A. Robson and E.W. Titterton, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 84 (1964) 201
- 1964CE04 J. Cerny, R.H. Pehl, F.S. Goulding and D.A. Landis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 726
- 1964CH28 M.L. Chatterjee and B. Sen, Nucl. Phys. 51 (1964) 583
- 1964CO17 E.G. Corman, R.W. Jewell, W. John, J.E. Sherwood and D. White, Phys. Lett. 10 (1964) 116
- 1964CR1B Cromer and Palmieri, Ann. Phys. 30 (1964) 32
- 1964DI1A Dietrich, Thesis, CalTech (1964)
- 1964DU1E Duncan, Duggan and Purrington, Phys. Rev. 134 (1964) B164
- 1964ER1C Eramjian, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 112
- 1964ET02 J.E. Etter, M.A. Waggoner, H.D. Holmgren, C. Moazed and A.A. Jaffe, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 42
- 1964FA06 E.F. Farrow and H.J. Hay, Phys. Lett. 11 (1964) 50
- 1964FE01 P. Fessenden and D.R. Maxson, Phys. Rev. 133 (1964) B71
- 1964FO13 J.L.C. Ford, Jr., Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B953
- 1964FR1C Francis, Goldman and Guth, Congres Int. de Phys. Nucl., Paris (1964)
- 1964FU15 R. Fulle, D. Netzband and K. Schlott, Nucl. Phys. 56 (1964) 512
- 1964GO1J Goldberg, Rudakov and Serikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 47 (1964) 571; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 20 (1965) 381
- 1964GO1K Goldberg, Rudakov and Serikov, Congres Int. de Phys. Nucl., Paris (1964)
- 1964GR19 K.A. Gridnev, A.E. Denisov, Y.A. Nemilov, V.S. Sadkovskii and E.D. Teterin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 46 (1964) 1473; JETP (Sov. Phys.) 19 (1964) 994

1964GR1J Green, Nucl. Phys. 54 (1964) 505
 1964GR39 G. Gregoire and P.C. Macq, Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) 328
 1964HE01 G. Heymann, M.J. Scott and R.L. Keizer, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 9 (1964) 55, FA4
 1964JA03 J.C. Jacmart, J.P. Garron, M. Riou and C. Ruhla, Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) 269
 1964KI02 K.G. Kibler and R.R. Carlson, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 9 (1964) 406, CA1
 1964LO1C Loiseaux, Langevin and Maison, Congres Int. de Phys. Nucl., Paris (1964)
 1964LU02 B.T. Lucas, S.W. Cosper and O.E. Johnson, Phys. Rev. 133 (1964) B963
 1964MA2E Mahaux, Congres Int. de Phys. Nucl., Paris (1964)
 1964MA60 M. Manalis and J.E. Henkel, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B1741
 1964ME07 R.A. Mendelson Jr., E. Norbeck and R.R. Carlson, Phys. Rev. 135 (1964) B1319
 1964MI04 R. Middleton and D.J. Pullen, Nucl. Phys. 51 (1964) 50
 1964NE1E Neudachin, Shevchenko and Yudin, Phys. Lett. 10 (1964) 180
 1964NG1A Nguyen Ngoc and Perez Y Jorba, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B1036
 1964PU04 P. Purdom, P.A. Seeger and R.W. Kavanagh, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 9 (1964) 704, B8
 1964RE1C Reif, Phys. Lett. 10 (1964) 193
 1964RO1G Rook, Nucl. Phys. 55 (1964) 523
 1964SA1D Satchler, Drisko and Bassel, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B637
 1964SA1L Satchler and Haybron, Phys. Lett. 11 (1964) 313
 1964SC1F Scroggs, Zobel and Maienschein, IEEE Trans Nucl. Sci. (USA) NS-11, No.1 (1964) 365; Phys. Abs. 15036 (1964)
 1964SH07 T.H. Short and N.M. Hintz, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 9 (1964) 391, BA16
 1964SH1B Shklyarevsky, Nucl. Phys. 54 (1964) 125
 1964ST16 D.J. Steinberg, J.N. Palmieri and A.M. Cormack, Nucl. Phys. 56 (1964) 46
 1964ST1B Stovall, Phys. Rev. 133 (1964) B268
 1964ST25 J.R. Stehn, M.D. Goldberg, B.N. Magurno and R. Wiener-Chasman, BNL-325, 2nd Ed., Suppl. 2, Vol. 1 (1964)
 1964SY02 G.D. Symons, Phys. Lett. 10 (1964) 89
 1964TE01 E. Teranishi and B. Furubayashi, Phys. Lett. 9 (1964) 157
 1964TE04 G. Tessler and W.E. Stephens, Phys. Rev. 135 (1964) B129
 1964TI02 G. Tibell, O. Sundberg and P.U. Renberg, Ark. Fys. 25 (1964) 433
 1964TO1C Tomas, Paic, Valkovic, Cerineo, Slaus and Rendic, Congres Int. de Phys. Nucl., Paris (1964)
 1964VE1A Venter and Frahn, Ann. Phys. 27 (1964) 385, 401

1964WI1B Wilkinson, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 348
 1964YA1A Yanabu et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 19 (1964) 1818
 1965AR1E Artemov et al., Yad. Fiz. 1 (1965) 629; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 1 (1965) 450
 1965BE1P Bernstein, Ginaven, Chubinsky and Kossler, Unknown Source
 1965BE1X Berkowitz, Carlson and Norbeck, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 627
 1965BI1F Bilwes and Bourotte, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37 (1965) 458
 1965BO1M Bodmer and Murphy, Nucl. Phys. 64 (1965) 593
 1965BR28 C.P. Browne, W.E. Dorenbusch and F.H. O'Donnell, Nucl. Phys. 72 (1965) 194
 1965CE1A Cerny, Private Communication (1965)
 1965CO1F Cocke, Private Communication (1965)
 1965CR1C Crosby, Legg and Roy, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 439
 1965DI03 F.S. Dietrich, Nucl. Phys. 69 (1965) 49
 1965ET1A Etter, Waggoner, Moazed, Holmgren and Han, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37 (1965) 444
 1965FU1G Fuller and Cohen, Appendix I, Nucl. Data Sheets 6-5 (1965)
 1965GR08 G.M. Griffiths, Nucl. Phys. 65 (1965) 647
 1965HA09 J.C. Hardy, R.I. Verrall, R. Barton and R.E. Bell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14 (1965) 376
 1965HA17 D. Hasselgren, P.U. Renberg, O. Sundberg and G. Tibell, Nucl. Phys. 69 (1965) 81
 1965IM01 W.L. Imhof, L.F. Chase Jr. and D.B. Fossan, Phys. Rev. 139 (1965) B904
 1965IS05 M.M. Islam and P.B. Treacy, Nucl. Phys. 70 (1965) 236
 1965JA1C Janecke, Nucl. Phys. 61 (1965) 383
 1965JO19 C.M. Jones, J.K. Bair, C.H. Johnson, H.B. Willard and M. Reeves III, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37 (1965) 437
 1965KO08 A.P. Komar and E.D. Makhnovsky, Nucl. Phys. 65 (1965) 662
 1965KO1B Komar and Makhnovskii, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 160 (1965) 1300; Sov. Phys. Dokl. 10 (1965) 150
 1965KU1E Kudeyarov, Matthies, Neudachin and Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. 65 (1965) 529
 1965LO1K Lomakin and Nechaev, Atomnaya Energ. 18 (1965) 33
 1965LY01 B. Lynch, G.M. Griffiths and T. Lauritsen, Nucl. Phys. 65 (1965) 641
 1965MA1E Mazari, Private Communication (1965)
 1965MA54 J.H.E. Mattauch, W. Thiele and A.H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. 67 (1965) 1
 1965MC04 P.G. McManigal, R.D. Eandi, S.N. Kaplan and B.J. Moyer, Phys. Rev. 137 (1965) B620
 1965MO09 J. Mosner, G. Schmidt and J. Schintlmeister, Nucl. Phys. 64 (1965) 169

- 1965MO27 S. Morita, T. Tohei, T. Nakagawa, T. Hasegawa, H. Ueno and C.-C. Hsu, Nucl. Phys. 66 (1965) 17
- 1965MU1A Murphy and Rosati, Nucl. Phys. 63 (1965) 625
- 1965NE1C Neudatchin and Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. 66 (1965) 25
- 1965PH1B Phillips, Bertozzi, Kowalski, Sargent and Turchinets, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 541
- 1965PO1F Polak and Torchia, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 468
- 1965RA1C Rand, Frosch and Yearian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14 (1965) 234
- 1965RA1D Rand, Frosch and Yearian, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 542
- 1965RE1A Reynolds, Maxwell and Hintz, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 439
- 1965RI1A Riou, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37 (1965) 375
- 1965RO1G Rose and Fisher, Private Communication (1965)
- 1965RO1H Rook, Nucl. Phys. 61 (1965) 219
- 1965RO1T Roos and Wall, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 526
- 1965RO1U Roturier, Irigaray and Petit, Compt. Rend. 260 (1965) 4491
- 1965SA1K Sakamoto, Nucl. Phys. 66 (1965) 531
- 1965SY02 G.D. Symons, Phys. Lett. 18 (1965) 142
- 1965TA1C Taylor, Fletcher and Davis, Nucl. Phys. 52 (1965) 318
- 1965WA04 B.D. Walker, C. Wong, J.D. Anderson and J.W. McClure, Phys. Rev. 137 (1964) B1504
- 1965WA1M Waggoner, Etter, Holmgren and Moazed, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37 (1965) 358
- 1965WE1E Weidenmuller, Nucl. Phys. (1965)
- 1965WI1H Willmes et al., Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 10 (1965) 525
- 1965WO01 J.B. Woods and D.H. Wilkinson, Nucl. Phys. 61 (1965) 661
- 1965WY02 J.M. Wyckoff, B. Ziegler, H.W. Koch and R. Uhlig, Phys. Rev. 137 (1965) B576
- 1966PU02 P. Purdom, Jr., P.A. Seeger and R.W. Kavanagh, Nucl. Phys. 83 (1966) 513
- 1966TY01 H. Tyren, S. Kullander, O. Sundberg, R. Ramachandran, P. Isacson and T. Berggren, Nucl. Phys. 79 (1966) 321; Erratum Nucl. Phys. A119 (1968) 692

