

Adopted Levels

S(p)=-1376 20

S(p): From $S_p = -E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)$ for the ¹⁸Na_{g.s.}, where $E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)$ is deduced as the weighted average of 1.27 MeV 17 (2004Ze05), 1.23 MeV 15 (2012Mu05) and 1.38 MeV 2 (2025Ni11). This compares with $S_p = -1250$ keV 90 given in (2021Wa16: AME-2020).

Evaluator deduced a mass excess of $\Delta M = 25166$ keV 20 for the ¹⁸Na_{g.s.} from the weighted average of $\Delta M = 25.04$ MeV 17 (2004Ze05), 25.02 MeV 15 (2012Mu05) and 25.17 MeV 2 (2025Ni11).

The discovery of ¹⁸Na is credited to (2004Ze05: ⁹Be(²⁰Mg, ¹⁸Na→¹⁷Ne+p)). See also (2012Th10). All experimental evidence is consistent with the scenario that all observed levels of ¹⁸Na proton decay 100% to levels in ¹⁷Ne.

Theoretical Studies:

J. Jänecke, Nucl. Phys. 61 (1965) 383: Predicted that ¹⁸Na is unbound with respect to proton emission by 1.6 MeV.

1966Ke16: Calculated the mass excess of ¹⁸Na to be 25.4 MeV 4 using the isobaric mass formula.

1976Ja23: Calculated mass excess of ¹⁸Na to be $\Delta M = 25.57$ MeV. This value is compiled by the (1976Wa18) atomic mass evaluation.

1978Gu10: Calculated mass excess $\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}) = 25.771$ MeV, with an overall accuracy of 150 keV, using the isobaric multiplet mass equation (IMME). The deduced value agrees with that estimated by Jänecke–Garvey–Kelson (1976Ja23, 1976Wa18).

1983AnZQ, 1984An18, 1986An07: Deduced Coulomb energy using the IMME calculations and calculated mass excess $\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}) = 25.319$ MeV 22. The uncertainty resulted from fitting the *b* coefficient of the IMME equation.

1988Ja08: Calculated mass excess using the Garvey-Kelson mass relation. Deduced $\Delta M = 25.4$ MeV 2.

1988Pa14: Calculated mass excess for T(z)<0 nuclei using the IMME equation. Deduced $\Delta M = 25.7$ MeV 2.

2004Ge02: Calculated binding energy, radii, deformation parameters, neutron and proton separation energies using deformed relativistic mean field calculations.

2008Qi04: Calculated energies, J, π , Q-values for proton resonances using a mean-field model.

2012Ko09: Calculated *rms* radii, *rms* radius of neutron and proton distributions, isovector shift of nuclear *rms* radii, bulk density, neutron skin. Direct variational method, extended Thomas-Fermi approximation. Comparison with experimental data.

2018Fo04: Analyzed and fitted mirror energy differences between 2s_{1/2}, 1d_{5/2}, and 1f_{7/2} single-particle states in neutron-excess nuclei and their proton-excess mirrors. Comparison with improved Kelson-Garvey (ImKG) model.

2022Zo01: Calculated S(p), S(2p), mass excesses for proton-rich systems, both inside and outside the proton drip line, in terms of mass relations for mirror nuclei, based on Weizsäcker mass formula. Comparison with available evaluated experimental data from AME-2020, and deduced root-mean-square deviations.

2024Ya25: Calculated positron energy, T_{1/2}. Comparison with available data.

2025Ya15: Analyzed available data; deduced T_{1/2}; the initial highest decay kinetic energy decreases straightly with respect to the atomic mass number for each of the sets of radioisotopes of the same element.

Previous Evaluations:

1972Aj02, 1978Aj03, 1983Aj01, 1987Aj02, 1995Ti07, and TUNL evaluation in 2015.

¹⁸Na Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

- | | | | |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| A | ¹ H(¹⁷ Ne,p):res | D | ⁹ Be(²⁰ Mg, ¹⁸ Na) at GSI |
| B | ⁹ Be(¹⁷ Ne, ¹⁸ Na) | E | ⁹ Be(²⁰ Mg, ¹⁸ Na) at NSCL |
| C | ⁹ Be(²⁰ Mg, ¹⁸ Na) at GANIL | | |

E(level) ^a	J ^π	Γ	XREF	Comments
0 ^f	(1 ⁻)	<40 keV	CDE	^σ p=100 (2004Ze05,2012Mu05,2025Ni11) Γ: From (2025Ni11). Γ: See also Γ=0.48 MeV 14 (2004Ze05), Γ<0.2 MeV (2012Mu05) and Γ _{p0} <1 keV and Γ _{p1} <1 keV (2012As04). J ^π : From mirror analysis in (2004Ze05) and shell model analysis in (2012As04).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels (continued)

¹⁸Na Levels (continued)

E(level) ^a	J ^π	Γ	XREF	Comments
174 ^d 21	2 ^{-b}	5 ^c keV 3	AB DE	<p>J^π=1⁻ was suggested by (2025Ni11), who used a three-level Breit-Wigner analysis. It is unclear if the J^π was a fit parameter in that study, or if they assumed the J^π value from previous mirror/shell model analyses. We therefore, consider the assignment tentative.</p> <p>Decays to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}+p.</p> <p>%p=100 (2012As04,2004Ze05,2023Ch22)</p> <p>Γ_{p0}=5 keV 3 (2012As04)</p> <p>Γ_{p1}<1 keV (2012As04)</p> <p>%p: See also (2012Mu05, 2018Xu04, 2025Ni11).</p> <p>E(level): From E_{c.m.}(p+¹⁷Ne)=1550 keV 5: Weighted average of 1552 keV 5 (2012As04), 1527 keV 25 (stat.) 20 (sys.) (2023Ch22), 1.55 MeV 7 (2012Mu05) and 1.53 MeV 2 (2025Ni11).</p> <p>Γ: See also Γ=0.25 MeV +25-15 (2012Mu05), Γ=22 keV 100 (2023Ch22) and Γ<40 keV (2025Ni11).</p>
466 ^{eg} 45	0 ^{-b}	300 ^{cg} keV 100	A E	<p>%p=100 (2012As04,2025Ni11)</p> <p>Γ_{p0}=300 keV 100 (2012As04)</p> <p>Γ_{p1}<10 keV (2012As04)</p> <p>XREF: E(0.59E3)</p> <p>E(level): From E_{c.m.}(p+¹⁷Ne)=1842 keV 40 (2012As04).</p>
654 ^{eg} 28	1 ^{-b}	900 ^{cg} keV 100	A E	<p>%p=100 (2012As04)</p> <p>Γ_{p0}=900 keV 100 (2012As04)</p> <p>Γ_{p1}<100 keV (2012As04)</p> <p>XREF: E(0.59E3)</p> <p>E(level): From E_{c.m.}(p+¹⁷Ne)=2030 keV 20 (2012As04).</p>
708 ^{dg} 21	3 ^{-b}	42 ^{cg} keV 10	AB DE	<p>%p=100 (2012As04,2018Xu04,2023Ch22)</p> <p>Γ_{p0}=42 keV 10 (2012As04)</p> <p>Γ_{p1}<1 keV (2012As04)</p> <p>XREF: E(0.59E3)</p> <p>E(level): From E_{c.m.}(p+¹⁷Ne)=2084 keV 5 (2012As04).</p> <p>See also E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p)=2085 keV 23 (stat.) 20 (sys.) (2023Ch22).</p> <p>Γ: See also Γ=84 keV 46 (2023Ch22), deduced from two-level Breit-Wigner analysis.</p>
1.1×10 ^{3h} 8			D	<p>%p=100 (2018Xu04)</p> <p>E(level): From E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p)=2.5 MeV +7-3 (2018Xu04).</p> <p>E(level): This state suffers from a poor statistical significance (see Table I and Fig. 6 of 2018Xu04). But the existence of a level near E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p)=2.5 MeV was predicted by (2007Fo07: Theory).</p>
2.6×10 ^{3h} 16			D	<p>%p=100 (2018Xu04)</p> <p>E(level): From E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p)=4.0 MeV +15-6 (2018Xu04).</p>

^a Excitation energies are reported with respect to E_{c.m.}(p+¹⁷Ne)=1376 keV 20, weighted average of 1.27 MeV 17 (2004Ze05), 1.23 MeV 15 (2012Mu05) and 1.38 MeV 2 (2025Ni11).

^b From R-matrix analysis of (2012As04) using the Anarχ code.

^c From Γ=Γ_{p0} (2012As04), deduced from R-matrix analysis.

^d (2012As04, 2025Ch54) predict a configuration of ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}⊗πd_{5/2} using shell model calculations for this state.

^e (2012As04, 2025Ch54) predict a configuration of ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}⊗πs_{1/2} using shell model calculations for this state.

^f This state is reported to have a ¹⁷Ne*(1288, 3/2⁻)⊗πd_{5/2} configuration.

^g See also E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p)=1.97 MeV 2 and Γ=0.32 MeV 4 (2025Ni11) for an unresolved state that could contain contribution from this state.

^h This state is suggested to be populated in the sequential proton decay of a newly observed ¹⁹Mg* state at E_x=8.9 MeV +8-7 via the present ¹⁸Na intermediate state (2018Xu04).

$^1\text{H}(^{17}\text{Ne},\text{p})\text{:res}$ 2012As04

Resonant elastic scattering in inverse kinematics.

$J^\pi(^1\text{H})=1/2^+$ and $J^\pi(^{17}\text{Ne}_{\text{g.s.}})=1/2^-$.

2011As07, 2011AsZX: XUNDL dataset compiled by TUNL, 2011.

The level structure of ^{18}Na was studied by $^{17}\text{Ne}+\text{p}$ resonant elastic scattering in inverse kinematics. A 4-MeV/nucleon ^{17}Ne beam impinged on a 150 μm -thick polypropylene (C_3H_6) stopping target that was rotating at 1000 rpm at the GANIL spiral facility. Scattered protons were detected in either a cooled $\Delta\text{E-E}$ Si-Si(Li) telescope covering $\theta_{\text{lab}}=\pm 2^\circ$ or a CD-PAD position sensitive $\Delta\text{E-E}$ Si array covering $\theta_{\text{lab}}=5^\circ-25^\circ$. The laboratory $^{17}\text{Ne}(\text{p},\text{p}')$ excitation function was deduced and converted to the center of mass using Monte Carlo simulations. This spectrum was analyzed using the Anar χ R-matrix code, from which parameters for 4 ^{18}Na states were deduced: $^{18}\text{Na}_{\text{g.s.}}$ at $E_{\text{c.m.}}(^{17}\text{Ne}+\text{p})=1.54$ MeV corresponding to a mass excess of $\Delta\text{M}=25.30$ MeV 2 with $J^\pi=2^-$ and $\Gamma=10$ keV; $E_{\text{x}}(^{18}\text{Na})=320$ keV with $J^\pi=1^-$ and $\Gamma=500$ keV; $E_{\text{x}}(^{18}\text{Na})=520$ keV with $J^\pi=2^-$ and $\Gamma=50$ keV; and $E_{\text{x}}(^{18}\text{Na})=1400$ keV with $J^\pi=3^-$ and $\Gamma=700$ keV.

The authors report a J^π inversion for the ground and first excited states of ^{18}Na when compared with the ^{18}N mirror nucleus. No evidence is found for the lower-energy state reported by (2004Ze05).

2012As04: XUNDL dataset compiled by TUNL, 2012.

The authors repeated the previously described experiment optimizing the experimental setup and with the aim of improving the understanding of the dynamics of 2p decay of ^{19}Mg .

A 4-MeV/nucleon ^{17}Ne ion beam from the SPIRAL facility at GANIL impinged on a polypropylene (C_3H_6) target assembly. The target assembly consisted of a fixed 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ C_3H_6 foil followed by a rotating (1000 rpm) C_3H_6 foil with the same thickness, which stopped the beam and carried away the beam's undesired decay radiation; scattered protons were unaffected by the target functionality.

The scattered protons, whose energies are convoluted with the target thicknesses and the scattering excitation function, were detected at $5^\circ \leq \theta_{\text{lab}} \leq 20^\circ$ with an annular position sensitive $\Delta\text{E-E}$ telescope. The scattering excitation function, which is assumed to result from elastic scattering, is deduced with an energy resolution of 13 keV at FWHM in the center of mass. Small backgrounds from reactions on ^{12}C and β -delayed protons from ^{17}Ne are evaluated and subtracted from the proton energy spectrum. Finally the spectrum is evaluated via R-matrix analysis. Two peaks are prominent.

The deduced level structures are compared with shell-model predictions. Dimensionless reduced widths (θ^2) are obtained. Interpretation suggests that the 1^- ground state and the 2^- excited state are too weakly populated and are too narrow to be observed in this experiment. These levels are predicted by the shell model to have a $^{17}\text{Ne}^*(1288, 3/2^-) \otimes \pi d_{5/2}$ configuration.

Theory:

2005Fo13, 2006Fo08: Using analysis of the mirror ^{18}N system, frameworks are developed describing the low-lying levels of ^{18}Na in terms of ^{19}Na plus a neutron hole (2005Fo13) or ^{17}Ne plus a proton (2006Fo08). Estimates on the mass excess and level spacing are discussed. (2007Fo07) found those low-lying states to have a d -wave configuration.

2012Fo10: The earlier approach used in (2005Fo13, 2006Fo08) was updated using newly available data. Calculated proton resonance energies, excitation energies, J , π , widths and spectroscopic factors. Comparison with experimental values.

2025Ch54: Investigated properties of ^{18}Na and ^{18}N mirror levels and the $^{17}\text{Ne}(\text{p},\text{p})$ cross section using coupled-channel Gamow shell model. Good agreement is obtained with experimentally deduced (by 2012As04) energies and partial decay widths for the low-lying ^{18}Na states. Isospin symmetry breaking between ^{18}N - ^{18}Na is discussed.

 ^{18}Na Levels

(2012As04) did not observe the $^{18}\text{Na}_{\text{g.s.}}$ and the $^{18}\text{Na}^*(2^-)$ state. They estimated $\Gamma_{\text{p}0} < 1$ keV and $\Gamma_{\text{p}1} < 1$ keV for the decays of those two unobserved states to the $^{17}\text{Ne}_{\text{g.s.}}$ and $^{17}\text{Ne}^*(1288, 3/2^-)$, respectively. These unobserved states are reported to have a $^{17}\text{Ne}^*(1288, 3/2^-) \otimes \pi d_{5/2}$ configuration.

For theoretically deduced dimensionless reduced widths, see Table 1 in (2012As04). See also theoretical spectroscopic factors in (2012As04, 2012Fo10, 2025Ch54).

$E(\text{level})^a$	$J^\pi f$	Γ^d	$E_{\text{c.m.}}(\text{p}+^{17}\text{Ne}) (\text{keV})^f$	Comments
176 ^b 21	2 ⁻	5 keV 3	1552 5	%p=100 (2012As04) $\Gamma_{\text{p}0}=5$ keV 3 (2012As04) $\Gamma_{\text{p}1}<1$ keV (2012As04)

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^1\text{H}(^{17}\text{Ne},\text{p})\text{:res}$ **2012As04 (continued)** ^{18}Na Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)^a</u>	<u>J^{π}^f</u>	<u>Γ^d</u>	<u>E_{c.m.}(p+¹⁷Ne) (keV)^f</u>	<u>Comments</u>
466 ^c 45	0 ⁻	300 keV 100	1842 40	E(level): Agrees with the theoretically deduced E _x =0.24 MeV 5 for the 2 ₁ ⁻ state from (2005Fo13: Theory), where J ^{π} was determined from mirror analysis. J ^{π} : The J ^{π} =1 ⁻ assignment for this peak is ruled out by R-matrix analysis in (2012As04). %p=100 (2012As04) Γ_{p0} =300 keV 100 (2012As04) Γ_{p1} <10 keV (2012As04)
654 ^c 28	1 ⁻	900 ^e keV 100	2030 20	%p=100 (2012As04) Γ_{p0} =900 keV 100 (2012As04) Γ_{p1} <100 keV (2012As04)
708 ^b 21	3 ⁻	42 keV 10	2084 5	%p=100 (2012As04) Γ_{p0} =42 keV 10 (2012As04) Γ_{p1} <1 keV (2012As04)

^a From E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p)+S_p(¹⁸Na), where E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p) is measured by (2012As04) and S_p(¹⁸Na)=-1376 keV 20 (see the Adopted Levels).

^b (2012As04, 2025Ch54) predict a configuration of ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}⊗πd_{5/2} using shell model calculations for this state.

^c (2012As04, 2025Ch54) predict a configuration of ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}⊗πs_{1/2} using shell model calculations for this state.

^d From $\Gamma \approx \Gamma_{p0}$.

^e Evaluator notes that a simple Monte Carlo simulation assuming Γ_{p0} as a Gaussian distribution with a mean of 900 keV and a standard deviation of 100 keV and Γ_{p1} as a uniform distribution from 0-100 resulted Γ =950 keV 104.

^f From R-matrix analysis in (2012As04) using the Anar χ code.

⁹Be(¹⁷Ne,¹⁸Na) 2023Ch22

Proton pickup reaction using a fast beam.

$J^\pi(^9\text{Be}_{g.s.})=3/2^-$ and $J^\pi(^{17}\text{Ne}_{g.s.})=1/2^-$.

2023Ch22: XUNDL dataset compiled by TUNL, 2023.

The authors studied particle unbound states in a number of light nuclei, including ¹⁸Na. Previously published data include information on unbound states in other nuclei.

A cocktail beam of ¹⁵O ($\approx 89\%$ at $E=48.1$ MeV/nucleon) and ¹⁷Ne ($\approx 11\%$ at $E=58.2$ MeV/nucleon) was produced at the NSCL/A1900 by fragmenting a ²⁰Ne beam. The beam impinged on a 1-mm-thick ⁹Be production target and populated short-lived ¹⁸Na levels that proton decayed before exiting the target. Complete kinematics measurement of the decay products was performed using the HiRA array comprising of 14 position sensitive Si-CsI(Tl) ΔE -E telescopes, which measured the charged-particle decay products covering $\theta_{\text{lab}} \approx 2^\circ - 12^\circ$. Based on published data on other nuclei, the telescopes were most likely arranged in vertical towers with a 2-3-4-3-2 configuration where the central tower had a gap between the upper and lower two telescopes to permit the beam a downstream exit at $\theta_{\text{lab}}=0^\circ$. The CAESAR γ -ray array (158 CsI(Na) crystals) surrounded the target covering polar angles between $\theta_{\text{lab}}=57.5^\circ - 142.4^\circ$. This array was used to measure the coincident γ -ray de-excitations, but no events were found in coincidence with γ rays. In other words, the populated ¹⁸Na levels did not appear to decay to the ¹⁷Ne*(1288, 3/2⁻) state.

The invariant mass spectrum indicates two peaks corresponding to the known $J^\pi=2_1^-$ and 3_1^- states at $E_x \sim 0.2$ MeV and ~ 0.7 MeV (2012As04), respectively. These states are known to have a ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.} $\otimes \pi d_{5/2}$ configuration, which are favorably populated in the ⁹Be(¹⁷Ne, ¹⁸Na* \rightarrow p+¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}) proton pickup reaction. The configuration is confirmed by absence of γ -coincident events. The proton decay energy spectrum is fitted using two peaks with Breit-Wigner line shape to extract the level properties.

¹⁸Na Levels

All data are from (2023Ch22).

E(level) ^a	J^π ^b	Γ ^b	Q_p (MeV) ^{bc}	Comments
151 32	2 ⁻		1527 25	$\%p=100$ (2023Ch22) $\Gamma=22$ keV +100-22 (2023Ch22) Γ : (2023Ch22) reports $\Gamma=22$ keV 100. Evaluator highlights that this value is consistent with zero. Therefore, it may suggest that the width is either not constrained by the fit, which seems unlikely as the peak is very prominent, or that the width is more likely dominated by the experimental resolution. If we interpret the 22- and 100-keV values as the expectation value and the square root of the variance of a log-normal distribution for the width of this state, respectively, then the median and factor uncertainty (e^σ) values will be 5 keV and $e^\sigma=6$, respectively. Also, the log-normal distribution becomes a peak-less distribution that resembles a Porter-Thomas distribution with a long tail, whose width is again consistent with zero.
709 30	3 ⁻	84 keV 46	2085 23	Decays to ¹⁷ Ne _{g.s.} via proton emission (2023Ch22). $\%p=100$ (2023Ch22) Decays to ¹⁷ Ne _{g.s.} via proton emission (2023Ch22).

^a From $E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)+S_p(^{18}\text{Na})$, where $S_p(^{18}\text{Na})=-1376$ keV 20 (see the Adopted Levels) and $Q_p=E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)$. We highlight that the uncertainties are statistical. A conservative systematic uncertainty of $\Delta E \approx 20$ keV should be added in quadrature to these values.

^b From a two-level Breit-Wigner line shape analysis. We note that it is unclear if the J^π values were fit parameters, or if (2023Ch22) simply assigned the J^π values from the previous R-matrix analysis by (2012As04).

^c Proton decay energy in the ¹⁷Ne+p center-of-mass system.

⁹Be(²⁰Mg, ¹⁸Na) at GANIL 2004Ze05

Neutron knockout reaction followed by proton decay from ¹⁹Mg.
 $J^\pi(^9\text{Be}_{g.s.})=3/2^-$ and $J^\pi(^{20}\text{Mg}_{g.s.})=0^+$.

This dataset documents the experiment conducted at GANIL that is credited with the discovery of ¹⁸Na.

2004Ze05: A beam of 43-MeV/nucleon ²⁰Mg ions was produced by fragmenting a ²⁴Mg beam on a thick ¹²C target using the ALPHA spectrometer and SISSI solenoids at GANIL. The beam was transported to the SPEG spectrometer, where it impinged on a 47 mg/cm² ⁹Be foil placed in the spectrometer's target position. Light-ion ejectiles were detected in the position sensitive Si(Li)/CsI ΔE-E MUST array covering $\theta_{\text{lab}}=2^\circ-25^\circ$, while heavier decay fragments were detected in the spectrometer focal plane detectors covering $\theta_{\text{lab}}=\pm 2^\circ$. The invariant mass spectrum with a resolution of 250 keV 50 was generated for each of the p+¹⁷Ne pairs observed in the experiment with a 150 keV systematic uncertainty. The resulting spectrum indicated two peaks that are attributed to proton decay from ¹⁸Na to ¹⁷Ne.

The two peaks are consistent with mass excesses of 24.19 MeV 16 and 25.04 MeV 17. The interpretation of the two peaks remains unclear since no γ-ray detectors were used in the measurement; this missing information creates an ambiguity in interpretation for the case where a level in ¹⁸Na decays to an excited state of ¹⁷Ne. Significant discussion on the determination of the ground state level and assignment of J^π values is given in the article.

The preferred analysis accepts the ground state mass excess of 25.04 MeV 17 with $\Gamma=0.48$ MeV 14 and $J^\pi=1^-$ (by comparison with the ¹⁸N mirror nucleus). This scenario assumes that ¹⁸Na_{g.s.} decays to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}. The peak appearing in the invariant mass spectrum at 24.19 MeV 16 with $\Gamma=0.23$ MeV 10 is attributed to decay from an excited state of ¹⁸Na to the first excited state of ¹⁷Ne; in this case the experiment does not provide sufficient information to assign an excitation energy to the ¹⁸Na* level.

Theory:

2003Gr01: Calculated levels, J, π, configurations, radii using Cluster model. This study also suggested that the peak observed at $E_{c.m.}(p+^{17}\text{Ne})\sim 0.4$ MeV (see the Ph.D. thesis (2021) of the author of (2004Ze05)) is not the ¹⁸Na_{g.s.} and may be due to decay of ¹⁸Na* to an excited state in ¹⁷Ne. (2003Gr01) reported that the $E_{c.m.}(p+^{17}\text{Ne})\sim 1.3$ MeV peak in that experiment is more likely to be the ground state. This study further discusses the role of ¹⁸Na states in the ¹⁹Mg 2p decay.

2005Fo13, 2006Fo08: Deduced mass excess of 25.06 MeV 13 for ¹⁸Na_{g.s.} and calculated mass excesses and excitation energies of the low-lying states of ¹⁸Na, which are described using weak coupling and a simple Woods-Saxon potential model calculations guided by mirror analysis. These states are obtained in terms of ¹⁹Na plus a neutron hole (2005Fo13) or ¹⁷Ne plus a proton (2006Fo08). Estimates on level spacing are discussed. These studies attribute the ¹⁸Na state with $\Delta M=25.04$ MeV from (2004Ze05) to an unresolved doublet from the decay of the ground and first excited states of ¹⁸Na to the ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}. Furthermore, (2005Fo13) reports that the state with $\Delta M=24.19$ from (2004Ze05) is primarily due to decay of a (2^-) state at $E_x(^{18}\text{Na})=0.60$ MeV 7 (deduced) to ¹⁷Ne*(1288, 3/2⁻). (2005Fo13) pointed out a peak near 25.9 MeV in the data of (2004Ze05), which was not analyzed, and attributed it to the decay of two or three states of ¹⁸Na (with $J^\pi=(3^-, 0^-, \text{ and } 1^-)$) to the ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}.

¹⁸Na Levels

All data are from (2004Ze05) unless otherwise noted.

<u>E(level)^a</u>	<u>J^π^d</u>	<u>Γ</u>	<u>$E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)$ (MeV)</u>	<u>Comments</u>
0?	(1 ⁻)	0.48 ^{bc} MeV 14	1.27 17	%p≈100 (2004Ze05) E(level): The state with a mass excess of $\Delta M=25.04$ MeV 17 was assumed to be the ground state. (2004Ze05) considered that this state decays by proton emission to ¹⁷ Ne _{g.s.} . The theoretical studies by (2005Fo13, 2006Fo08) report this state as an unresolved doublet consisting of the ground and first excited state of ¹⁸ Na, both of which decay to the ¹⁷ Ne _{g.s.} .
x?		0.23 MeV 10	0.42 ^e 17	%p≈100 (2004Ze05) x=0.44 MeV (see the comments below). E(level): A state with $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV 16 is attributed to the

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg},^{18}\text{Na})$ at GANIL **2004Ze05** (continued) ^{18}Na Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)^a</u>	<u>J^{π}^d</u>	<u>Γ</u>	<u>E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p) (MeV)</u>	<u>Comments</u>
				<p>proton decay from an excited state of ^{18}Na to the first excited state of ^{17}Ne at $E_x=1288$ keV. Under this assumption, the evaluator calculated $E_x(^{18}\text{Na})=E_x(^{17}\text{Ne}^*)+\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}^*)-\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}_{g.s.})=0.44$ MeV. This value is also mentioned in (2005Fo13: Theory) and (2025Ni11: $^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg},^{18}\text{Na})$ at NSCL).</p> <p>We highlight that (2012Mu05: $^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg},^{18}\text{Na})$ at GSI) found no evidence for the state with $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV 16. Later on, (2025Ni11) reports that this state does not belong to ^{18}Na and it originates from the prompt 2p democratic decay of the $^{19}\text{Mg}_{g.s.}$, where if only one proton is detected in coincidence with ^{17}Ne, it would seem as if it is a state in ^{18}Na. This is also the reason why this peak is absent in (2012Mu05) because the p-^{17}Ne angular correlation in that study was obtained using a gate on the first excited state of ^{19}Mg, which undergoes sequential 1p decay through some of the intermediate states of ^{18}Na.</p> <p>(2004Ze05) assumed that this state decays to $^{17}\text{Ne}^*(1288, 3/2^-)+p$.</p>

^a From $E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)+S_p(^{18}\text{Na})$, where $S_p(^{18}\text{Na})=-1.27$ MeV 17 (2004Ze05).

^b Evaluator notes that the theoretical discussion of (2005Fo13) reports immeasurably small widths for this state.

^c Evaluator notes that NUBASE-2020 reports $T_{1/2}=1.3\times 10^{-21}$ s 4 from (2004Ze05). This half-life corresponds to $\Gamma=0.34$ MeV 9, which is the width of a ^{18}Na state with mass excess of $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV 16. Here, we note that (1) this width is corrected by those authors to $\Gamma=0.23$ MeV 10 after taking into account the experimental resolution of 250 keV 50 (2004Ze05). (2) Moreover, (2004Ze05) suggested that the state with $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV is most likely an excited state. (2004Ze05) considered the $^{18}\text{Na}_{g.s.}$ to be a state with $\Delta M=25.04$ MeV 17 and decay width of $\Gamma=0.480$ MeV 14. (3) Finally, (2025Ni11: $^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg},^{18}\text{Na})$ at NSCL) reports that the state with $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV does not belong to ^{18}Na . It originates from the prompt 2p decay of the $^{19}\text{Mg}_{g.s.}$ to the $^{17}\text{Ne}_{g.s.}$.

^d From mirror analysis. Therefore, evaluator considered the value tentative.

^e Deduced by the evaluator from $S_p(^{18}\text{Na}_{g.s.})+\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}^*)-\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}_{g.s.})$, where $S_p=1.27$ MeV 17, $\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}^*)=24.19$ MeV and $\Delta M(^{18}\text{Na}_{g.s.})=25.04$ MeV from (2004Ze05).

⁹Be(²⁰Mg, ¹⁸Na) at GSI 2012Mu05,2018Xu04

Neutron knockout reaction followed by proton decay from ¹⁹Mg.
 $J^\pi(^9\text{Be}_{g.s.})=3/2^-$ and $J^\pi(^{20}\text{Mg}_{g.s.})=0^+$.

This dataset describes a series of experiments performed by more or less the same group at GSI. They measured the in-flight decay of proton unbound states in ¹⁹Mg and ¹⁸Na and focused on analysis of the p₁-¹⁷Ne, p₂-¹⁷Ne and p₁-p₂ particle correlations following population of the ¹⁹Mg* states and their subsequent sequential 2p decay through the intermediate levels in ¹⁸Na to the ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}.

2008Mu13, 2012Mu05: XUNDL dataset compiled by TUNL, 2012.

A beam of ²⁰Mg ions (produced by fragmenting a 450-MeV/A ²⁴Mg beam) impinged on a 2 g/cm² ⁹Be target at the mid-plane of the FRS separator/spectrometer at GSI. The target was surrounded by an array of four position sensitive Si telescopes that measured the breakup charged particle angular correlations (p₁-p₂, p₁-¹⁷Ne_{g.s.} and p₂-¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}). The ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.} heavy decay residues were momentum analyzed by the second half of the FRS and identified at the final focal plane using ToF, B_p and ΔE-E. Two prominent peaks appear in the p-¹⁷Ne_{g.s.} angular correlation distribution: First is a peak consistent with 2p decay of the ¹⁹Mg_{g.s.} directly to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.} with E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+2p)=0.75 MeV 5; second is a peak corresponding to ¹⁹Mg excited states decaying sequentially through proton unbound states in ¹⁸Na.

The excited states in ¹⁹Mg appear as “arc bands” in the θ(p₁-¹⁷Ne) vs. θ(p₂-¹⁷Ne) angular correlation spectrum. Analysis of events along a fixed or constant radius provides details about the parent ¹⁹Mg state and the ¹⁸Na states populated in the sequential decay of the parent to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}+2p. Evidence for two states is visible in the spectrum. Monte Carlo simulations are used to extract “best fit” values for energies and widths of ¹⁹Mg and ¹⁸Na states. Arguments based on the extracted widths and the Wigner limits are used to constrain J^π values.

2018Xu04: XUNDL dataset compiled by B. Singh (McMaster), 2018.

This is an experiment by the same group as (2012Mu05), where the authors restudied proton decay of ¹⁹Mg* levels via the intermediate ¹⁸Na levels to calibrate their detection system for measurements of heavier exotic nuclei. The experiment setup and analysis techniques were almost the same as (2012Mu05) using the same facility, except in (2018Xu04), the ²⁰Mg beam was produced from fragmentation of a 685-MeV/nucleon ³⁶Ar beam on a ⁹Be target.

The populated ¹⁹Mg* states were observed as “arc bands” in the θ(p₁-¹⁷Ne) vs. θ(p₂-¹⁷Ne) angular correlation spectrum. Analysis of events along a fixed or constant radius provides details about the parent ¹⁹Mg state and the ¹⁸Na daughters populated in the sequential decay to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}+2p. Evidences for one new excited state in ¹⁹Mg and two previously unknown states in ¹⁸Na were found.

2025Xu03: The 3p-unbound ²⁰Al nucleus was discovered by this study. ²⁰Al sequentially decays to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}+3p via ¹⁹Mg.

(2025Xu03) analyzed the data of (2007Mu15: ⁹Be(²⁰Mg, ¹⁹Mg)) obtained as part of the experimental campaign followed by (2012Mu05) at the GSI/FRS facility. (2025Xu03) investigated the by-product ⁹Be(²⁰Mg, ²⁰Al) charge exchange reaction channel and discovered ²⁰Al via analysis of the ¹⁷Ne+3p decay products, which were measured in 4-fold coincidence. The ²⁰Al_{g.s.} and ²⁰Al*(1.67 MeV) levels were discovered from reconstruction of the ¹⁷Ne-p-p and p-p-p angular correlations. The ground state decays to ¹⁹Mg_{g.s.}+p, while the 1.67-MeV level decays to ¹⁹Mg*(1.38 MeV)+p. The ¹⁹Mg_{g.s.} democratically decays to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}+2p, and the ¹⁹Mg*(1.38 MeV) state subsequently decays via the ¹⁸Na_{g.s.} intermediate level to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.}+2p.

¹⁸Na Levels

All levels decay by proton emission to ¹⁷Ne_{g.s.} (see Fig. 1 in 2012Mu05).

E(level) ^a	J ^π ^e	Γ ^c	E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p) (MeV)	Comments
0	(1 ⁻)	<0.2 ^d MeV	1.23 ^f 15	%p=100 (2012Mu05,2018Xu04) J ^π : From shell model and mirror analysis in (2012As04, 2012Mu05). E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p) (MeV): See also E _{c.m.} =1.23 MeV (2018Xu04). ΔM=25.02 MeV 15 for the ¹⁸ Na _{g.s.} (2012Mu05).
0.32×10 ³ 16	2 ⁻	0.25 ^d MeV +25-15	1.55 ^f 7	%p=100 (2012Mu05,2018Xu04) E(level): (2018Xu04) reports that fragmentation of

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg}, ^{18}\text{Na})$ at GSI [2012Mu05](#), [2018Xu04](#) (continued) ^{18}Na Levels (continued)

E(level) ^a	J ^π ^e	E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p) (MeV)	Comments
0.85×10 ³	3 ⁻	2.084 ^{g,h}	²⁰ Mg may populate this state and that it may decay via ¹⁷ Ne*(1288, 3/2 ⁻) emitting a 0.26-MeV proton. E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p) (MeV): See also 1.55 MeV (2018Xu04). %p=100 (2018Xu04) E(level): This state was inconclusively observed in (2011AsZX); however, (2012Mu05) reports that including this J ^π =3 ⁻ state at Q(p+ ¹⁷ Ne)=2.084 MeV permits a quantitative reproduction of the ¹⁷ Ne+p+p correlation spectra. Later on, (2012As04 , 2018Xu04) confirmed the existence of this state. The statistical significance of this peak in (2018Xu04) is considerable, where signal is more than 3 times larger than the background (see Table I in 2018Xu04).
1.3×10 ^{3b} 8		2.5 ^h 8	%p=100 (2018Xu04) E(level): This state suffers from a poor statistical significance (see Table I and Fig. 6 of 2018Xu04). But the existence of a level near E _{c.m.} (p+ ¹⁷ Ne)=2.5 MeV was predicted by (2007Fo07 : Theory).
2.8×10 ^{3b} 16		4.0 ^h 16	E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p) (MeV): From E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p)=2.5 MeV +7-3 (2018Xu04). %p=100 (2018Xu04) E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p) (MeV): From E _{c.m.} (¹⁷ Ne+p)=4.0 MeV +15-6 (2018Xu04).

^a From E_{c.m.}(¹⁷Ne+p)+S_p(¹⁸Na), where S_p(¹⁸Na)=-1.23 MeV 9 ([2012Mu05](#)).

^b Evidence of a new level is proposed by ([2018Xu04](#)). This state is suggested to be populated in the sequential proton decay of a newly observed ¹⁹Mg* state at E_x=8.9 MeV +8-7 via the ¹⁸Na intermediate state ([2018Xu04](#)).

^c From ([2012Mu05](#)).

^d Evaluator notes that the theoretical discussion of ([2005Fo13](#)) reports an immeasurably small width for this state.

^e From R-matrix analysis of ([2012As04](#)). ([2012Mu05](#), [2018Xu04](#)) suggested those values as firm, except for the ground state, which was considered tentative as it was not observed by ([2012As04](#)).

^f From ([2012Mu05](#)).

^g From ([2011AsZX](#)).

^h From ([2018Xu04](#)).

$^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg}, ^{18}\text{Na})$ at NSCL 2025Ni11

Multi nucleon knockout reaction.

$J^\pi(^9\text{Be}_{g.s.})=3/2^-$ and $J^\pi(^{20}\text{Mg}_{g.s.})=0^+$.

This study focuses on determining the ground state of ^{18}Na and finding the origin of the state observed by (2004Ze05: $^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg}, ^{18}\text{Na})$ at GANIL) that corresponds to $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV. (2025Ni11) reconstructs the ^{18}Na low-lying levels from the $^{17}\text{Ne}+p$ decay events using the invariant mass technique.

2025Ni11: XUNDL dataset compiled by TUNL, 2025.

Fragmentation of a 170-MeV/nucleon ^{24}Mg on a ^9Be target at NSCL (MSU) produced a 103-MeV/nucleon ^{20}Mg using the A1900 fragment separator. This beam bombarded a 1-mm-thick ^9Be production target. ^{18}Na levels were produced and immediately decayed to the $^{17}\text{Ne}+p$ products. An array of position sensitive $\Delta E(\text{Si})-E(\text{CsI}(\text{TI}))$ ring-shaped telescopes covering $\theta_{\text{lab}}=1.2^\circ-10.1^\circ$ measured the decay protons. The E-detectors were arranged in two concentric rings. The trajectories of the ^{17}Ne decay residues were measured on their way to the S800 spectrograph using an array of scintillating fibers. Those ions were then momentum analyzed by the S800 spectrograph and their energies were measured at the focal plane.

The ^{18}Na decay energy spectrum was deduced using invariant mass analysis. They report that the state that was observed by (2004Ze05) corresponding to a mass excess of $\Delta M=24.19$ MeV does not belong to ^{18}Na . Instead, it originates from the prompt $2p$ democratic decay of the $^{19}\text{Mg}_{g.s.}$, where if only one proton is detected in coincidence with ^{17}Ne , it would seem as if it is a state in ^{18}Na . They explain why this state was not observed by the subsequent studies. The present decay energy spectrum shows a broad unresolved structure peaking at $E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)=1.5$ MeV that resembles a doublet with a high energy shoulder. Using three-level Breit-Wigner line shapes convoluted with the experimental energy resolution, the level properties of ^{18}Na were extracted.

The ^{18}N - ^{18}Na mirror levels are identified and their mirror symmetry and configurations are discussed. Systematics for the neutron separation energy indicate a persistence of the $N=8$ magicity.

 ^{18}Na Levels

All data are from (2025Ni11), where the experimental resolution at FWHM varies from 200-250 keV.

The seniority quantum number, ν , is defined as the number of neutrons or protons that are not coupled in pairs to angular momentum $J=0$ (G. Racah, Theory of complex spectra. III, Phys. Rev. 63 (1943) 367).

$E(\text{level})^a$	J^π^c	Γ^{cd}	$E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)$ (MeV) ^c	Comments
0^b	1^-	$<40^e$ keV	1.38 2	$\%p=100$ (2025Ni11) $\Delta M=25.17$ MeV 2. (2025Ni11) suggests that this state has a $\nu=3$ proton seniority.
$0.15 \times 10^3^b$ 3	2^-	$<40^e$ keV	1.53 2	$\%p=100$ (2025Ni11) (2025Ni11) suggests that this state has a $\nu=1$ proton seniority.
0.59×10^3 3		0.32 MeV 4	1.97 2	$\%p=100$ (2025Ni11) E(level): This state may be the unresolved triplet consisting of the known $J^\pi=0^-_1, 1^-_2$ and 3^-_1 states, while the contribution of the unobserved $^{18}\text{Na}^*(2^-_2)$ state to this peak cannot be ruled out.

^a From $E_{c.m.}(^{17}\text{Ne}+p)+S_p(^{18}\text{Na})$, where $S_p(^{18}\text{Na})=-1.38$ MeV 2 (2025Ni11).

^b This state has a $\pi(1d_{5/2})^3 \otimes \nu 1p_{1/2}$ configuration.

^c From a three-level Breit-Wigner line shape analysis. We note that it is unclear if the J^π values were fit parameters, or if (2025Ni11) assigned the J^π values from previous mirror/shell model analyses by (2004Ze05, 2012As04) for the ground state and from R-matrix analysis by (2012As04).

^d Intrinsic width of the state.

^e The observed width is consistent with the experimental resolution.

REFERENCES FOR A=18

- 1966Ke16 I.Kelson, G.T.Garvey - Phys.Letters 23, 689 (1966).
Masses of Nuclei with $Z > n$.
- 1972Aj02 F.Ajzenberg-Selove - Nucl.Phys. A190, 1 (1972); Erratum Nucl.Phys. A227, 244 (1974).
Energy Levels of Light Nuclei $A = 18-20$.
- 1976Ja23 J.Janecke - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 17, 455 (1976).
Updated Mass Predictions from the Garvey-Kelson Mass Relations.
- 1976Wa18 A.H.Wapstra, K.Bos - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 17, 474 (1976).
A 1975 Midstream Atomic Mass Evaluation.
- 1978Aj03 F.Ajzenberg-Selove - Nucl.Phys. A300, 1 (1978).
Energy Levels of Light Nuclei $A = 18-20$.
- 1978Gu10 K.Gul - J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 44, 353 (1978).
Mass Excesses of Some Proton Rich Light Nuclides.
- 1983Aj01 F.Ajzenberg-Selove - Nucl.Phys. A392, 1 (1983); Errata Nucl.Phys. A413, 168 (1984).
Energy Levels of Light Nuclei $A = 18-20$.
- 1983AnZQ Y.Ando, M.Uno, M.Yamada - JAERI-M-83-025 (1983).
Prediction of Mass Excess, Beta-Decay Energy and Neutron Separation Energy from the Atomic Mass Formula with Empirical Shell Terms.
- 1984An18 M.S.Antony, A.Pape - Phys.Rev. C30, 1286 (1984).
Isobaric Mass Systematics for $A \leq 60$.
- 1986An07 M.S.Antony, J.Britz, A.Pape - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 34, 279 (1986).
Predicted Masses and Excitation Energies in Higher Isospin Multiplets for $9 \leq A \leq 60$.
- 1987Aj02 F.Ajzenberg-Selove - Nucl.Phys. A475, 1 (1987).
Energy Levels of Light Nuclei $A = 18-20$.
- 1988Ja08 J.Janecke, P.J.Masson - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 39, 265 (1988).
Mass Predictions from the Garvey-Kelson Mass Relations.
- 1988Pa14 A.Pape, M.S.Antony - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 39, 201 (1988).
Masses of Proton-Rich $T(z) < 0$ Nuclei via the Isobaric Mass Equation.
- 1995Ti07 D.R.Tilley, H.R.Weller, C.M.Cheves, R.M.Chasteler - Nucl.Phys. A595, 1 (1995).
Energy Levels of Light Nuclei $A = 18-19$.
- 2003Gr01 L.V.Grigorenko, I.G.Mukha, M.V.Zhukov - Nucl.Phys. A713, 372 (2003); Erratum Nucl.Phys. A740, 401 (2004).
Prospective candidates for the two-proton decay studies I: structure and Coulomb energies of ^{17}Ne and ^{19}Mg .
- 2004Ge02 L.S.Geng, H.Toki, A.Ozawa, J.Meng - Nucl.Phys. A730, 80 (2004).
Proton and neutron skins of light nuclei within the relativistic meanfield theory.
- 2004Ze05 T.Zerguerras, B.Blank, Y.Blumenfeld, T.Suomijarvi et al. - Eur.Phys.J. A 20, 389 (2004).
Study of light proton-rich nuclei by complete kinematics measurements.
- 2005Fo13 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 72, 034304 (2005).
 ^{18}Na : *Mass excess and low-lying states.*
- 2006Fo08 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr, B.A.Brown - Phys.Rev. C 73, 064310 (2006).
Coulomb energies in ^{17}Ne and the ground state mass of ^{18}Na .
- 2007Fo07 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 76, 014313 (2007).
Two-proton decay energy and width of ^{19}Mg (g.s.).
- 2007Mu15 I.Mukha, K.Summerer, L.Acosta, M.A.G.Alvarez et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 99, 182501 (2007).
Observation of Two-Proton Radioactivity of ^{19}Mg by Tracking the Decay Products.
- 2008Mu13 I.Mukha, L.Grigorenko, K.Summerer, L.Acosta et al. - Phys.Rev. C 77, 061303 (2008).
Proton-proton correlations observed in two-proton decay of ^{19}Mg and ^{16}Ne .
- 2008Qi04 C.Qi, R.Z.Du, Y.Gao, J.C.Pei et al. - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E17, 1955 (2008).
Proton resonance properties in light nuclei with mean-field type potentials.
- 2011As07 M.Assie, F.De Oliveira Santos, F.De Grancey, L.Achouri et al. - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E20, 971 (2011).
Spectroscopy of the unbound nucleus ^{18}Na .
- 2011AsZX M.Assie, F.de Oliveira - Proc.of the 4th Inter.Conf.Proton Emitting Nuclei and Related Topics (PROCON 2011), Bordeaux, France, 6-10 June 2011, L.B.Blank Ed. p.93 (2011); AIP Conf.Proc.1409 (2011).
Spectroscopy of the unbound nucleus ^{18}Na in link with ^{19}Mg two-proton radioactivity.
- 2012As04 M.Assie, F.de Oliveira Santos, T.Davinson, F.de Grancey et al. - Phys.Lett. B 712, 198 (2012).
Spectroscopy of ^{18}Na : Bridging the two-proton radioactivity of ^{19}Mg .
- 2012Fo10 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 85, 051302 (2012).
Reexamining ^{18}Na and ^{19}Mg .
- 2012Ko09 V.M.Kolomietz, S.V.Lukyanov, A.I.Sanzhur - Phys.Rev. C 85, 034309 (2012).
Nucleon distribution in nuclei beyond the β -stability line.
- 2012Mu05 I.Mukha, L.Grigorenko, L.Acosta, M.A.G.Alvarez et al. - Phys.Rev. C 85, 044325 (2012).
New states in ^{18}Na and ^{19}Mg observed in the two-proton decay of ^{19}Mg .
- 2012Th10 M.Thoennessen - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 98, 933 (2012).
Discovery of the Isotopes with $11 \leq Z \leq 19$.

REFERENCES FOR A=18(CONTINUED)

- 2018Fo04 H.T.Fortune - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034301 (2018).
Mirror energy differences of $2s_{1/2}$, $1d_{5/2}$ and $1f_{7/2}$ states.
- 2018Xu04 X.-D.Xu, I.Mukha, L.V.Grigorenko, C.Scheidenberger et al. - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034305 (2018).
Spectroscopy of excited states of unbound nuclei ^{30}Ar and ^{29}Cl .
- 2021Wa16 M.Wang, W.J.Huang, F.G.Kondev, G.Audi, S.Naimi - Chin.Phys.C 45, 030003 (2021).
The AME 2020 atomic mass evaluation (II). Tables, graphs and references.
- 2022Zo01 Y.Y.Zong, C.Ma, M.Q.Lin, Y.M.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 105, 034321 (2022).
Mass relations of mirror nuclei for both bound and unbound systems.
- 2023Ch22 R.J.Charity, K.Brown, T.Webb, L.G.Sobotka - Phys.Rev. C 107, 054301 (2023).
Invariant-mass spectroscopy of ^{10}B , ^{11}C , ^{14}F , ^{16}F , and ^{18}Na .
- 2024Ya25 T.Yarman, O.Yarman, N.Zaim, A.Kholmetskii, M.Arik - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E33, 2450032 (2024).
Systematization of β^+ -decaying atomic nuclei: Interrelation between half-life, mass, energy and size.
- 2025Ch54 N.Chen, J.G.Li, K.H.Li, N.Michel et al. - Phys.Rev. C 112, 034319 (2025).
Gamow shell model study of the $^{17}\text{Ne}(p,p)$ reaction and of isospin symmetry breaking in ^{18}Na .
- 2025Ni11 L.Ni, Y.Jin, Z.H.Li, K.W.Brown et al. - Phys.Rev. C 112, 024321 (2025).
Resonant structure of ^{18}Na and the $N=8$ shell.
- 2025Xu03 X.-D.Xu, I.Mukha, J.G.Li, S.M.Wang et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 135, 022502 (2025).
Isospin Symmetry Breaking Disclosed in the Decay of Three-Proton Emitter ^{20}Al .
- 2025Ya15 T.Yarman, N.Zaim, A.L.Kholmetskii, O.Yarman - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E34, 2550023 (2025).
For sets of β^+ -decaying radioisotopes of the same element, the highest initial kinetic energy of the emitted positron falls off straightly with increasing atomic mass number A.