

Adopted Levels

$S(n)=18.68\times 10^3$ 11; $S(p)=0.50\times 10^3$ 12; [2012Wa38](#)

^{19}Mg decays by 2p emission; while the ground state appears to decay by “true 2p emission” the excited states decay sequentially via states in ^{18}Na . Theoretical analysis of the systematics for 2p emission in nuclear decay using the T- and Y- Jacobi coordinate systems is given in ([2000Gr16](#),[2001Gr16](#),[2001Gr29](#),[2003Gr24](#),[2010GR06](#)). See also ([2003GR01](#),[2003Gr04](#)) and ([2013OI02](#)). Rigorous shell-model predictions are presented for the ^{19}Mg ground state ([2010Fo07](#),[2011Fo05](#),[2012Fo10](#),[2012Fo05](#)) and excited state ([2013Fo05](#)) structures.

 ^{19}Mg LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A $^9\text{Be}(^{36}\text{Ar}, ^{19}\text{Mg})$
B $^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg}, 2\text{p}17\text{ne})$

E(level)	J ^π	Γ	XREF	Comments
0	1/2 ⁻	1.14×10^{-4} eV	B	%2p≈100 Decays to $^{17}\text{Ne}+2\text{p}$.
1.38×10^3 24	(3/2 ⁻)	0.4 MeV 2	B	%p≈100 Decays to $^{18}\text{Na}^*(0,320)$.
2.14×10^3 21	(5/2 ⁻)	0.6 MeV 6	B	%p≈100 Γ: 0.6 MeV +6–4. Decays to $^{18}\text{Na}^*(320,854)$.
2.84×10^3 21	(3/2 ⁻)	<0.2 MeV	B	%p≈100 Decays to states in ^{18}Na .
4.74×10^3 21	(3/2 ⁻)	2.0 MeV 8	B	%p≈100 Decays to $^{18}\text{Na}^*(320)$.

$^9\text{Be}(^{36}\text{Ar}, ^{19}\text{Mg})$ [2003Fr31](#)

Products from fragmentation of a 150 MeV/A ^{36}Ar beam on a ^9Be target were analyzed in the NSCL/A1900 fragment separator and identified using ΔE -E and ΔE -Time of flight techniques. Expected yields from, for example, the EPAX1 and EPAX2 abrasion-ablation models were of order 4000 events, while no ^{19}Mg nuclei were detected. Analysis indicated an upper limit of $T_{1/2} < 22$ ns ([2003FR31](#),[2004FR33](#)).

 $^9\text{Be}(^{20}\text{Mg},2\text{p}17\text{ne}) \quad 2012\text{Mu05}$

Proton unbound states in ^{19}Mg and ^{18}Na were measured by fragmenting a ^{20}Mg beam in a ^9Be target and analyzing the p₁-p₂, p₁- ^{17}Ne and p₂- ^{17}Ne particle correlations.

A beam of ^{20}Mg ions (produced by fragmenting a 450 MeV/A ^{24}Mg beam) impinged on a 2 g/cm² ^9Be target at the midplane of the GSI FRS. The target was surrounded by an array of four position sensitive detector telescopes that measured the breakup particle charged particle angular correlations (p₁-p₂, p₁- ^{17}Ne and p₂- ^{17}Ne). Two prominent peaks appear in the p- ^{17}Ne angular correlation distribution; first is a peak consistent with 2p decay of the $^{19}\text{Mg}_{\text{g.s.}}$ directly to $^{17}\text{Ne}+2\text{p}$ with $E_{\text{res}}=0.75$ MeV 5, second is a peak corresponding to ^{19}Mg excited states decaying sequentially through proton unbound states in ^{18}Na .

The excited states in ^{19}Mg appear as “arc bands” in the $\theta(p_1\text{-}^{17}\text{Ne})$ vs. $\theta(p_2\text{-}^{17}\text{Ne})$ angular correlation spectrum. Analysis of events along a fixed or constant radius provides details about the initial ^{19}Mg state and the ^{18}Na states populated in the sequential decay to $^{17}\text{Ne}_{\text{g.s.}}+2\text{p}$; Monte Carlo simulations are used to extract “best fit” values for energies and widths of ^{19}Mg and ^{18}Na states.

Arguments based on the extracted widths and the Wigner Limits are used to constrain Jπ values.

Also see ([2007Mu15](#),[2008Mu13](#),[2009Mu17](#)).

Theoretical analysis of the systematics for 2p emission in nuclear decay using the T- and Y- Jacobi coordinate systems is given in ([2000Gr16](#),[2001Gr16](#), [2001Gr29](#),[2003Gr24](#),[2010GR06](#)). See also ([2003GR01](#),[2003Gr04](#)).

 ^{19}Mg Levels

E(level)	J ^π	Γ	Comments
0	1/2 ⁻	1.14×10^{-4} eV	from Q(p+ ^{17}Ne)=0.76 MeV 6. Decays to $^{17}\text{Ne}+2\text{p}$.
1.38×10^3 24	(3/2 ⁻)	0.4 MeV 2	from Q(p+ ^{17}Ne)=2.14 MeV 23. Decays to $^{18}\text{Na}^*(0,320)$.
2.14×10^3 21	(5/2 ⁻)	0.6 MeV 6	Γ: 0.6 MeV +6–4. from Q(p+ ^{17}Ne)=2.9 MeV 2. Decays to $^{18}\text{Na}^*(320,854)$.
2.84×10^3 21	(3/2 ⁻)	<0.2 MeV	from Q(p+ ^{17}Ne)=3.6 MeV 2. Decays to states in ^{18}Na .
4.74×10^3 21	(3/2 ⁻)	2.0 MeV 8	from Q(p+ ^{17}Ne)=5.5 MeV 2. Decays to $^{18}\text{Na}^*(320)$.

REFERENCES FOR A=19

- 2000GR16 L.V.Grigorenko, R.C.Johnson, I.G.Mukha et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 85, 22 (2000).
2001GR16 L.V.Grigorenko, R.C.Johnson, I.G.Mukha et al. - Nucl.Phys. A689, 567c (2001).
2001GR29 L.V.Grigorenko, R.C.Johnson, I.G.Mukha et al. - Phys.Rev. C64, 054002 (2001).
2003FR31 N.Frank, T.Baumann, D.Bazin et al. - Phys.Rev. C 68, 054309 (2003).
2003GR01 L.V.Grigorenko, I.G.Mukha, M.V.Zhukov - Nucl.Phys. A713, 372 (2003); Erratum Nucl.Phys. A740, 401 (2004).
2003GR04 L.V.Grigorenko, I.G.Mukha, M.V.Zhukov - Nucl.Phys. A714, 425 (2003).
2003GR24 L.V.Grigorenko, M.V.Zhukov - Phys.Rev. C 68, 054005 (2003).
2004FR33 N.Frank, T.Baumann, D.Bazin et al. - Nucl.Phys. A746, 551c (2004).
2007MU15 I.Mukha, K.Summerer, L.Acosta et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 99, 182501 (2007).
2008MU13 I.Mukha, L.Grigorenko, K.Summerer et al. - Phys.Rev. C 77, 061303 (2008).
2009MU17 I.Mukha, For the S271 Collaboration - Eur.Phys.J. A 42, 421 (2009).
2010FO07 H.T.Fortune, A.Lacaze, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 82, 034312 (2010).
2010GR06 L.V.Grigorenko, I.A.Egorova, M.V.Zhukov et al. - Phys.Rev. C 82, 014615 (2010).
2011FO05 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 83, 057301 (2011).
2012FO05 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 85, 027305 (2012).
2012FO10 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 85, 051302 (2012).
2012MU05 I.Mukha, L.Grigorenko, L.Acosta et al. - Phys.Rev. C 85, 044325 (2012).
2012WA38 M.Wang, G.Audi, A.H.Wapstra et al. - Chin.Phys.C 36, 1603 (2012).
2013FO05 H.T.Fortune, R.Sherr - Phys.Rev. C 87, 014316 (2013).
2013OL02 E.Olsen, M.Pfutzner, N.Birge et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett. 110, 222501 (2013).