

Adopted Levels

$S(p)=-1.9\times 10^3$ 2; $Q(\alpha)=-11.6\times 10^3$ 20 2017Ho10

The mass excess of ^{10}N is 38.1 MeV 2 (2017Ho10); see also $\Delta M=38.8$ MeV 4 (2017Wa10).

The ^{10}N nucleus is particle unstable to proton decay. Early theoretical studies, often guided by comparisons with ^{10}Li , were focused on predictions of its ground state binding energy (1974Ir04, 1982Ng01, 1984An18, 1997Ba54, 1997Po12, 2000Po32, 2009Ba41). In (1997Ao05, 2001Ao04) a detailed comparison of measured ^{10}Li and predicted ^{10}N properties is given that suggests the low-lying ground state may be determined by an s -wave resonance in the $^9\text{C}+p$ system.

On the other hand, discussion in 2004Ti06 suggested the $E_{\text{rel}}(^9\text{C}+p)=2.6$ MeV observed in the $^{10}\text{B}(^{14}\text{N},^{14}\text{B})^{10}\text{N}$ reaction was explained by large $L=2$ two-nucleon transfer amplitudes calculated for $^{10}\text{B}+2p\rightarrow^{12}\text{N}$ g.s. and ^{12}N g.s. $\rightarrow^{10}\text{N}(1^+)$, and that the observed state was the analog of the 0.24 MeV $J^\pi=1^+$ state of ^{10}Li . The same view point is expressed in 2013Sh19. However, so far there is no experimental evidence in support of $J^\pi=1^+$ for any ^{10}N states.

The near-threshold s -wave state in $^9\text{Li}+n$ (see ^{10}Li) implies a broad s -wave ground state about 1.8 MeV above the $^9\text{C}+p$ threshold in ^{10}N ; see calculations and discussion in (2004Ti06, 2013Fo22, 2013Sh19).

 ^{10}N LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A $^1\text{H}(^9\text{C},p)$
B $^{10}\text{B}(^{14}\text{N},^{14}\text{B})$

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π</u>	<u>Γ (MeV)[‡]</u>	<u>E_{rel.}(⁹C+p) (MeV)</u>	<u>XREF</u>	<u>Comments</u>
0	(1 ⁻)	2.5 MeV +20-15	1.9 2	A	%p=100 T=2
0.9×10 ³ 3	(2 ⁻)	2.0 MeV +7-5	2.8 2	AB	%p=100 T=2

[†] E_{g.s.} from E_{res}(⁹C+p)=1.9 MeV 2. See alternate analysis described in $^1\text{H}(^9\text{C},p)$.

[‡] Γ_p≈Γ.

$^1\text{H}(^9\text{C},\text{p})$ 2017Ho10

2017Ho10:

The authors studied resonances in the $^1\text{H}(^9\text{C},\text{p})$ reaction at $E_{\text{cm}} < 5.5$ MeV using a stopping thickness Methane gas (CH_4) target.

The data were analyzed using standard Thick Target Inverse Kinematics (TTIK) techniques. Evidence for two $l=0$ resonant states with ambiguous spin-parity assignments is presented and discussed.

A beam of 23.4 MeV/nucleon ^9C was produced at the MARS facility at the Cyclotron Texas A&M Cyclotron Institute using the $^{10}\text{B}(\text{p},\text{n})$ reaction. A 1 mm thick BC-404 scintillator located near the entrance of a CH_4 filled TPC scattering chamber provided particle identification and degraded the beam to 9.3 MeV/nucleon. A windowless ionization chamber, inside the gas volume, provided further particle ID after the entrance window.

As the ^9C ions slowed in the CH_4 gas, energetic protons were produced in scattering reactions. Protons were detected using a set of three ≈ 1000 μm thick segmented Si detectors that were used to obtain angular distributions for $\theta_{\text{cm}} = 129^\circ$ to 154° , 139° to 162° and 166° to 170° . The data were analyzed using standard TTIK techniques; however, because the detectors were not thick enough to stop all protons, the excitation functions were analyzed by dividing the final proton energy spectra into 3 regions for stopped, close to punch through, and unambiguous punch through protons. The combined analysis of the Si detector energies along with trajectories from the 3D TPC provided further information on the scattered proton momenta.

The angular distributions were analyzed using the MiniMatrix multi-channel multi-level R-Matrix code. Two fit solutions that include two $L=0$ $J^\pi=1^-$ and 2^- resonances are discussed in the text; no apparent preference given for either fit.

While the present result appears to show no preference in the fit, the results of 2002Le16 found a resonance at $E_{\text{res}}=2.64$ MeV 40 with $\Gamma=2.3$ MeV 16 ; this state compares with the first excited state and consideration of this Γ may suggest a weak favor for the parameters in Fit 2.

	Fit 1			Fit 2		
	J^π	E_{res} (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	J^π	E_{res} (MeV)	Γ (MeV)
g.s.	2^-	2.2 2	3.1 +9-7	1^-	1.9 2	2.5 +20-15
1 st	1^-	2.8 2	1.2 +6-4	2^-	2.8 2	2.0 +7-5

 ^{10}N Levels

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π</u>	<u>Γ (MeV)[‡]</u>	<u>$E_{\text{rel.}}(^9\text{C}+\text{p})$ (MeV)</u>
0	(1^-)	2.5 MeV +20-15	1.9 2
0.9×10^3 3	(2^-)	2.0 MeV +7-5	2.8 2

[†] Eg.s. from Fit 2 with $E_{\text{res}}(^9\text{C}+\text{p})=1.9$ MeV 2. See alternate analysis described above.

[‡] $\Gamma_p \approx \Gamma$.

$^{10}\text{B}(^{14}\text{N}, ^{14}\text{B})$ 2002Le16,2003Le262002Le16,2003Le26.

The authors studied the unbound ^{10}N nucleus at GANIL using the $^{10}\text{B}(^{14}\text{N}, ^{14}\text{B})^{10}\text{N}$ multinucleon transfer reaction. A ^{14}N beam with $E(^{14}\text{N}) = 30$ MeV/nucleon collided with a ^{10}B sandwiched target. Ejectiles were momentum analyzed at $\theta=1.2^\circ-4.5^\circ$ using the SPEG spectrometer.

A $l=0$ resonance was observed to be 2.6 MeV *4* above the $^9\text{C}+p$ threshold and the width was 2.3 MeV *16*. This work is credited with the first observation of ^{10}N ([2012Th01](#)).

 ^{10}N Levels

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>Γ (MeV)[‡]</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>$E_{\text{rel.}}(^9\text{C}+p)$ (MeV)</u>
0.7×10^3 <i>4</i>	2.3 MeV <i>16</i>	0	2.6 <i>4</i>

[†] Deduced assuming $E_{\text{g.s.}} = E_{\text{res}}(^9\text{C}+p) = 1.9$ MeV *2* from [2017Ho10](#).

[‡] $\Gamma_p \approx \Gamma$.

REFERENCES FOR A=10

- 1974IR04 J.M.Irvine, G.S.Mani, M.Vallieres - Czech.J.Phys. 24B, 1269 (1974).
The Structure of Light p-Shell Nuclei.
- 1982NG01 Nguyen Tien Nguyen, I.Ulehla - Czech.J.Phys. B32, 1040 (1982).
The Light 1P-Shell Nuclei.
- 1984AN18 M.S.Antony, A.Pape - Phys.Rev. C30, 1286 (1984).
Isobaric Mass Systematics for $A \leq 60$.
- 1997AO05 S.Aoyama, K.Kato, K.Ikeda - Phys.Lett. 414B, 13 (1997).
Resonant Structures in the Mirror Nuclei ^{10}N and ^{10}Li .
- 1997BA54 X.Bai, J.Hu - Phys.Rev. C56, 1410 (1997).
Microscopic Study of the Ground State Properties of Light Nuclei.
- 1997PO12 I.V.Poplavsky, M.N.Popushoi - Bull.Rus.Acad.Sci.Phys. 61, 160 (1997).
Coulomb Energies of Light Nuclei.
- 2000PO32 I.V.Poplavsky - Bull.Rus.Acad.Sci.Phys. 64, 795 (2000).
Estimated Masses of Some Light Nuclei.
- 2001AO04 S.Aoyama, K.Kato, K.Ikeda - Prog.Theor.Phys.(Kyoto), Suppl. 142, 35 (2001).
Three-Body Binding and Resonant Mechanisms in Neutron-Rich Light Nuclei Far from Stability Line – Pairing Correlation in Borromean Nuclei -.
- 2002LE16 A.Lepine-Szily, J.M.Oliveira, Jr. et al. - Phys.Rev. C65, 054318 (2002).
Observation of the Particle-Unstable Nucleus ^{10}N .
- 2003LE26 A.Lepine-Szily, J.M.Oliveira, D.Galante et al. - Nucl.Phys. A722, 512c (2003).
Spectroscopy of light proton-rich unbound nuclei: ^{15}F , $^{10,11}\text{N}$.
- 2004TI06 D.R.Tilley, J.H.Kelley, J.L.Godwin et al. - Nucl.Phys. A745, 155 (2004).
Energy levels of light nuclei $A = 8, 9, 10$.
- 2009BA41 R.A.Battye, N.S.Manton, P.M.Sutcliffe et al. - Phys.Rev. C 80, 034323 (2009).
Light nuclei of even mass number in the Skyrme model.
- 2012TH01 M.Thoennessen - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 98, 43 (2012).
Discovery of isotopes with $Z \leq 10$.
- 2013FO22 H.T.Fortune - Phys.Rev. C 88, 024309 (2013).
Mirror energy differences of $2s_{1/2}$ single-particle states: Masses of ^{10}N and ^{13}F .
- 2013SH19 R.Sherr, H.T.Fortune - Phys.Rev. C 87, 054333 (2013).
Energies within the $A=10$ isospin quintet.
- 2017HO10 J.Hooker, G.V.Rogachev, V.Z.Goldberg et al. - Phys.Lett. B 769, 62 (2017).
Structure of ^{10}N in $^9\text{C}+p$ resonance scattering.
- 2017WA10 M.Wang, G.Audi, F.G.Kondev et al. - Chin.Phys.C 41, 030003 (2017).
The AME2016 atomic mass evaluation (II). Tables, graphs and references.